

---

---

**In the United States Court of  
Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit**

No. 23-10616

---

LEROY PERNELL, ET AL.,

*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*

v.

ROBERT ANDRADE, ET AL.,

*Movants-Appellants.*

---

**APPENDIX TO INITIAL BRIEF OF MOVANTS-APPELLANTS  
VOLUME 1**

---

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
CASE NO. 4:22-CV-304-MW-MAF

---

DAVID AXELMAN

*General Counsel*

J. MICHAEL MAIDA

*Deputy General Counsel*

THE FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

329 The Capitol

Tallahassee, FL 32399

(850) 717-5500

*david.axelman@myfloridahouse.gov*

ASHLEY MOODY

*Attorney General*

HENRY C. WHITAKER

*Solicitor General*

DANIEL WILLIAM BELL

*Chief Deputy Solicitor General*

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF FLORIDA

PL-01, The Capitol

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

(850) 414-3300

(850) 410-2672 (fax)

*daniel.bell@myfloridalegal.com*

## Index of Appendix

### Docket/Tab#

#### Volume 1

District Court Docket Sheet .....A

Verified Complaint..... 1

#### Volume 2

Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motions for Preliminary Injunction..... 63

Amended Complaint..... 76

#### Volume 3

Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint..... 82

Motion by Members of the Florida House of Representatives to Quash Non-Party  
Subpoenas with attachments 1-3..... 91

Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to the Legislators' Motion to Quash Non-  
Party Subpoenas with attachments..... 96

Order Granting in Part and Denying Motion to Quash..... 100

Notice of Appeal ..... 104

Motion to Stay Discovery..... 105

Order Granting Motion to Stay..... 106

Order Staying All Discovery Deadlines..... 116

**A**

APPEAL,MEDIATION,STAY DISC

**U.S. District Court  
Northern District of Florida (Tallahassee)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 4:22-cv-00304-MW-MAF**

PERNELL et al v LAMB et al  
Assigned to: CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER  
Referred to: MAGISTRATE JUDGE MARTIN A FITZPATRICK  
Case in other court: USCA, 22-13992-J  
Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

Date Filed: 08/18/2022  
Jury Demand: Defendant  
Nature of Suit: 448 Civil Rights: Education  
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

**Plaintiff**

**LEROY PERNELL**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA INC  
- MIAMI FL  
4343 W FLAGLER STREET  
SUITE 400  
MIAMI, FL 33134  
786-363-1392  
Email: cmcnamara@aclufl.org  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
BALLARD SPAHR LLP - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1909 K STREET NW  
12TH FLOOR  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006  
202-661-2218  
Fax: 202-661-2299  
Email: tobinc@ballardspahr.com  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &  
EDUCATION FUND - NEW YORK NY  
40 RECTOR STREET  
5TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10006  
217-766-7045  
Email: amjohnson@naacpldf.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &  
EDUCATION FUND - NEW YORK NY



40 RECTOR STREET  
5TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10006  
212-965-2200  
Fax: 212-226-7592  
Email: aocchipinti@naacpldf.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
BALLARD SPAHR LLP -  
PHILADELPHIA PA  
1735 MARKET STREET  
51ST FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103  
215-864-8751  
Email: lubink@ballardspahr.com  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &  
EDUCATION FUND - WASHINGTON DC  
700 14TH STREET NW  
SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
929-448-5242  
Fax: 202-682-1312  
Email: cmclaurin@naacpldf.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA INC  
- MIAMI FL  
4343 W FLAGLER STREET  
SUITE 400  
MIAMI, FL 33134  
786-363-2714  
Fax: 786-363-1257  
Email: dtilley@aclufl.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
ACLU FOUNDATION - NEW YORK NY  
25 BROAD STREET  
18TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10004  
646-905-8949  
Email: esykes@aclu.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
BALLARD SPAHR LLP - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1909 K STREET NW  
12TH FLOOR

WASHINGTON, DC 20006

202-661-2200

Email: parsonse@ballardspahr.com

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**

BALLARD SPAHR LLP - MINNEAPOLIS  
MN

2000 IDS CENTER

80 SOUTH 8TH STREET

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

612-371-3281

Email:

salomaonascimento@ballardspahr.com

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**

BALLARD SPAHR LLP - SALT LAKE  
CITY UT

201 SOUTH MAIN STREET

SUITE 800

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

801-531-3000

Email: mabatahj@ballardspahr.com

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
OF FLORIDA

4500 BISCAYNE BLVD

SUITE 340

MIAMI, FL 33137

786-363-2700

Email: jazis@aclufl.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**

BALLARD SPAHR LLP -

PHILADELPHIA PA

1735 MARKET STREET

51ST FLOOR

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

215-864-8266

Fax: 215-864-8999

Email: leckermanj@ballardspahr.com

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &

EDUCATION FUND - WASHINGTON DC

700 14TH STREET NW

SUITE 600

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

202-682-1300

Fax: 202-682-1312

Email: jlee@naacpldf.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**

ACLU OF FLORIDA

4343 W FLAGLER STREET

SUITE 400

Miami, FL 33134

615-796-9027

Email: kblankenship@aclufl.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**

ACLU FOUNDATION - NEW YORK NY

125 BROAD STREET

18TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10004

212-549-2500

Email: lmoraff@aclu.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &

EDUCATION FUND - WASHINGTON DC

700 14TH STREET NW

SUITE 600

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

202-682-1300

Fax: 202-682-1312

Email: ljohnson@naacpldf.org

*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**

ACLU FOUNDATION - NEW YORK NY

125 BROAD STREET

18TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10004

212-519-7855

Email: lwatson@aclu.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &

EDUCATION FUND - NEW YORK NY

40 RECTOR STREET

5TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10006

646-891-6448

Email: mfajana@naacpldf.org

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE &

EDUCATION FUND WASHINGTON DC  
700 14th STREET NW  
SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-682-1300  
Email: scoleman@naacpldf.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
ACLU FOUNDATION - NEW YORK NY  
125 BROAD STREET  
18TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10004  
212-519-7882  
Email: shinger@aclu.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF FLORIDA INC  
933 LEE ROAD  
SUITE 102  
ORLANDO, FL 32810  
786-363-1107  
Email: jedwards@aclufl.org  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**DANA THOMPSON DORSEY**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**  
(See above for address)

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**SHELLEY PARK**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)

~~LEAD ATTORNEY~~  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**  
(See above for address)



*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**JENNIFER SANDOVAL**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**RUSSELL ALMOND**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)

~~ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED~~

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**

(See above for address)

*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**MARVIN DUNN**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**

(See above for address)

*LEAD ATTORNEY*

~~ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED~~

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**

(See above for address)

*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE FAJANA**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**JOHANA DAUPHIN**

represented by **CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA**

(See above for address)

*LEAD ATTORNEY*

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES DAVID TOBIN**

(See above for address)

*LEAD ATTORNEY*

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ALEXSIS MARIE JOHNSON**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ANNA OCCHIPINTI**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CATHARINE ELIZABETH LUBIN**

(See above for address)

~~ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED~~

**CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMERSON JAMES SYKES**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**EMILY SHAW PARSONS**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**ISIUWA JACQUELINE MABATAH**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JASON ALLEN LECKERMAN**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JIN HEE LEE**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAURA BETH MORAFF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**LAUREN A JOHNSON**

(See above for address)

*TERMINATED: 02/07/2023*

**LEAH MONIQUE WATSON**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MORENIKE PAJANA**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SANTINO COLEMAN**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**SARAH ANN HINGER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JERRY CRAWFORD EDWARDS**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

V.

**Defendant**

**FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
COOPER & KIRK PLLC - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1523 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-220-9600  
Email: ccooper@cooperkirk.com  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
COOPER & KIRK PLLC - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1523 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-220-9600  
Email: johlendorf@cooperkirk.com  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
COOPER & KIRK PLLC - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1523 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-220-9621  
Email: jramer@cooperkirk.com  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
COOPER & KIRK PLLC - WASHINGTON  
DC  
1523 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW



WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-220-9650  
Email: mwold@cooperkirk.com  
TERMINATED: 11/22/2022  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Defendant**

**BRIAN LAMB**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ERIC SILAGY**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**TIMOTHY CERIO**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**RICHARD CORCORAN**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**AUBREY EDGE**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**PATRICIA FROST**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**NIMMA GABADAGE**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**EDWARD HADDOCK**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KEN JONES**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ALAN LEVINE**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CHARLES LYDECKER**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CRAIG MATEER**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**STEVEN SCOTT**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**WILLIAM SELF**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM  
TERMINATED: 11/17/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KENT STERMON**

*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)

MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**Defendant**

**UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**Defendant**

**FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL  
UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

**Defendant**

**UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES**  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*TERMINATED: 11/22/2022*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MANNYY DIAZ JR**  
*IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE  
COMMISSIONER OF THE FLORIDA  
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION*

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DEEANA MICHAEL**  
*IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS  
MEMBERS OF THE FLORIDA BOARD OF  
GOVERNORS OF THE STATE  
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM*

represented by **JOHN D RAMER**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**JOHN D OHLENDORF**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**MEGAN MARIE WOLD**



(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MORTEZA HOSSEINI**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**THOMAS G KUNTZ**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DAVID L BRANDON**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**RICHARD P COLE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CHRISTOPHER T CORR**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JAMES W HEAVENER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**LAUREN D LEMASTERS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DANIEL T O'KEEFE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**RAHUL PATEL**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**AMANDA J PHALIN**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MARSHA D POWERS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**FRED S RIDLEY**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ANITA G ZUCKER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**WILLIAM WEATHERFORD**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MICHAEL E GRIFFIN**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**SANDRA CALLAHAN**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MICHAEL CARRERE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**N ROGAN DONELLY**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**OSCAR HORTON**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JENIFER JASINSKI SCHNEIDER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**LAURAN MONBARREN** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**NITHIN PALYAM** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**SHILEN PATEL** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**FREDRICK PICCOLO** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MELISSA SEIXAS** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DEAN C COLSON** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ROGELIO TOVAR** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CESAR L ALVAREZ** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JOSE J ARMAS** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DEANNE BUTCHEY** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CARLOS A DUART** represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)

**Defendant**

**NATASHA LOWELL**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CRISTHOFER LUGO**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**T GENE PRESCOTT**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CHANEL T ROWE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MARC D SARNOFF**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CARLOS TRUJILLO**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KEVIN LAWSON**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KIMBERLY MOORE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ANN MARIE CAVAZOS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**THOMAS W DORTCH, JR**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**OTIS CLIATT, II**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MICHAEL DUBOSE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KRISTIN HARPER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**BELVIN PERRY, JR**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CRAIG REED**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KENWARD STONE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**NICOLE WASHINGTON**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ZACHARY CHANDLER BELL**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**PETER COLLINS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MAXIMO ALVAREZ**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**KATHRYN BALLARD**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ERIC CHICKEN**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**VIVIAN DE LAS CUEVAS-DIAZ**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JORGE GONZALEZ**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JUSTIN ROTH**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**BOB SASSER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JOHN THIEL**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DREW WEATHERFORD**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JIM W HENDERSON**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DEBORAH SARGEANT**

represented by **ANNA OCCHIPINTI**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**

(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**ALEX MARTINS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**HAROLD MILLS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**TIFFANY ALTIZER**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**BILL CHRISTY**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JEFF CONDELLO**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JOSEPH CONTE**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DANNY GAEKWAD**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**STEPHEN KING**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**DANIELLA LOPEZ**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**CARYL MCALPIN**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**JOHN MIKLOS**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**MICHAEL OKATY**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**BEVERLY J SEAY**

represented by **CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER**  
(See above for address)  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/18/2022	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT against All Defendants ( Filing fee \$ 402 receipt number AFLNDC-7403642.), filed by Marvin Dunn, Dana Thompson Dorsey, LeRoy Pernell, Russell Almond, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, Johana Dauphin, Shelley Park, Jennifer Sandoval. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Levine Summons, # <u>2</u> Edge Summons, # <u>3</u> Lamb Summons, # <u>4</u> Lydecker Summons, # <u>5</u> Mateer Summons, # <u>6</u> Jordan Summons, # <u>7</u> Haddock Summons, # <u>8</u> Silagy Summons, # <u>9</u> FAMU BOT Summons, # <u>10</u> FIU BOT Summons, # <u>11</u> FL BOG Summons, # <u>12</u> FSU BOT Summons, # <u>13</u> Jones Summons, # <u>14</u> Stermon Summons, # <u>15</u> Diaz Summons, # <u>16</u> Gabadage Summons, # <u>17</u> Frost Summons, # <u>18</u> Corcoran Summons, # <u>19</u> Scott Summons, # <u>20</u> Cerio Summons, # <u>21</u> UCF BOT Summons, # <u>22</u> UF BOT Summons, # <u>23</u> USF BOT Summons, # <u>24</u> Self Summons) (EDWARDS, JERRY) (Entered: 08/18/2022)
08/18/2022	<u>2</u>	CIVIL COVER SHEET. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Other Attorneys Attachment) (EDWARDS, JERRY) (Entered: 08/18/2022)
08/18/2022	<u>3</u>	DOCKET ANNOTATION BY COURT: TO ATTORNEY JERRY EDWARDS Re <u>1</u> Complaint. Party names (including aliases) are to be added using all caps and no punctuation. (See "Style Guide for Electronic Case Filing," available on Clerk's website.) The party names will be corrected by the clerk (rcb) (Entered: 08/18/2022)
08/18/2022	<u>4</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Laura Moraff.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7403883.) by SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, Russell Almond, Johana Dauphin, Marvin Dunn, Shelley Park, LeRoy Pernell, Jennifer Sandoval, Dana Thompson Dorsey. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Good Standing) (MORAFF, LAURA) (Entered: 08/18/2022)
08/18/2022	<u>5</u>	ORDER ADMITTING LAURA MORAFF PRO HAC VICE. The <u>4</u> motion is GRANTED. (Appointed LAURA BETH MORAFF for RUSSELL ALMOND,LAURA BETH MORAFF for SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN,LAURA BETH MORAFF for DANA THOMPSON DORSEY,LAURA BETH MORAFF for MARVIN DUNN,LAURA BETH MORAFF for SHELLEY PARK,LAURA BETH MORAFF for LEROY PERNELL,LAURA BETH MORAFF for JENNIFER SANDOVAL) Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/18/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/18/2022)
08/19/2022	<u>6</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Leah Watson.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7407253.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK,



		LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (WATSON, LEAH) (Entered: 08/19/2022)
08/22/2022	<u>7</u>	ORDER ADMITTING LEAH WATSON PRO HAC VICE. The <u>6</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/22/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/22/2022)
08/23/2022	<u>8</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Sarah Hinger.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7409820.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (HINGER, SARAH) (Entered: 08/23/2022)
08/23/2022	<u>9</u>	Summons Issued as to All Defendants. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> SUMMONS ISSUED AUBREY EDGE, # <u>2</u> SUMMONS ISSUED BRIAN LAMB, # <u>3</u> SUMMONS ISSUED CHARLES LYDECKER, # <u>4</u> SUMMONS ISSUED CRAIG MATEER, # <u>5</u> SUMMONS ISSUED DARLENE L JORDAN, # <u>6</u> SUMMONS ISSUED EDWARD HADDOCK, # <u>7</u> SUMMONS ISSUED ERIC SILAGY, # <u>8</u> SUMMONS ISSUED FAMU BOT, # <u>9</u> SUMMONS ISSUED FIU BOT, # <u>10</u> SUMMONS ISSUED FL BOARD OF GOV OF THE STATE UNV SYSTEM, # <u>11</u> SUMMONS ISSUED FSU BOT, # <u>12</u> SUMMONS ISSUED KEN JONES, # <u>13</u> SUMMONS ISSUED KENT STERMON, # <u>14</u> SUMMONS ISSUED MANNY DIAZ, # <u>15</u> SUMMONS ISSUED NIMNA GABADAGE, # <u>16</u> SUMMONS ISSUED PATRICIA FROST, # <u>17</u> SUMMONS ISSUED RICHARD CORCORAN, # <u>18</u> SUMMONS ISSUED STEVEN M SCOTT, # <u>19</u> SUMMONS ISSUED TIMOTHY CERIO, # <u>20</u> SUMMONS ISSUED UCF BOT, # <u>21</u> SUMMONS ISSUED UF BOT, # <u>22</u> SUMMONS ISSUED USF BOT, # <u>23</u> SUMMONS ISSUED WILLIAM SELF) (rcb) (rcb). Modified on 8/24/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 08/23/2022)
08/23/2022	<u>10</u>	ORDER ADMITTING SARAH HINGER PRO HAC VICE. The <u>8</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Hinger has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/23/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/23/2022)
08/24/2022	<u>11</u>	Summons Issued as to ALAN LEVINE. (rcb) (Entered: 08/24/2022)
08/24/2022	<u>12</u>	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (EDWARDS, JERRY) Modified to edit title on 8/25/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 08/24/2022)
08/24/2022	<u>13</u>	MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Pernell Declaration, # <u>2</u> Thompson Dorsey Declaration, # <u>3</u> Austin Declaration, # <u>4</u> Park Declaration, # <u>5</u> Sandoval Declaration, # <u>6</u> Almond Declaration, # <u>7</u> Dunn Declaration, # <u>8</u> Dauphin Declaration, # <u>9</u> Smith Declaration) (EDWARDS, JERRY) Modified to edit title on 8/25/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 08/24/2022)
08/25/2022	<u>14</u>	ORDER SETTING CONFERENCE SCHEDULE.Plaintiffs shall serve Defendants with a copy of this Order, their Complaint, and their Motion for Preliminary Injunction before the close of business, 5:00 p.m. (ET) on Tuesday, August 30, 2022, so the parties can confer prior to the scheduling conference. The Clerk shall set this matter for a telephonic scheduling conference on Friday, <b>9/2/2022 02:00 PM. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/25/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/25/2022)</b>
08/25/2022	15	NOTICE OF TELEPHONIC HEARING: Telephonic Scheduling Conference set for <b>9/2/2022 02:00 PM</b> before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER.  ALL PARTIES are directed to call the AT&T Conference Line (see below)  Conference Call Information

		<p>You may dial into the conference call up to five minutes before start time. Call in number: <b>888-684-8852</b> When prompted for an access code, enter: <b>3853136#</b> If you are asked to join as the host, just ignore and wait until you are asked for a security code. When asked for a security code, enter: <b>4565#</b> Say your name, when prompted. You are now in the conference call. Remember to mute your phone when you are not speaking. <b>The Court asks that counsel NOT use cell phones or speaker phones</b> during the call as the quality of the audio connection is comprised by these devices.</p> <p><u>s/ Victoria Milton McGee</u> Courtroom Deputy Clerk (vkm) (Entered: 08/25/2022)</p>
08/25/2022	<u>16</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Morenike Fajana.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7413589.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - CGS) (FAJANA, MORENIKE) (Entered: 08/25/2022)
08/25/2022	<u>17</u>	ORDER ADMITTING MORENIKE FAJANA PRO HAC VICE. The <u>16</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/25/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/25/2022)
08/26/2022	<u>18</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Emerson Sykes.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7415908.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Good Standing) (SYKES, EMERSON) (Entered: 08/26/2022)
08/26/2022	<u>19</u>	ORDER ADMITTING EMERSON SYKES PRO HAC VICE. The <u>18</u> motion is GRANTED. Mr. Sykes has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/26/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/26/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>20</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by KATHERINE BLANKENSHIP on behalf of All Plaintiffs (BLANKENSHIP, KATHERINE) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>21</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Isabella Salomo Nascimento.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7420636.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (SALOMAO NASCIMENTO, ISABELLA) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>22</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Jason Leckerman.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7420978.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (LECKERMAN, JASON) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>23</u>	SUMMONS Returned Executed by MARVIN DUNN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, LEROY PERNELL, RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, SHELLEY PARK, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. All Defendants. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Fla. Board of Governors, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Return of Service - FIU Board of Trustees, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Return of Service - FAMU Board of Trustees, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Return of Service - FSU Board of Trustees, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Return of Service - UCF Board of Trustees, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Return of Service - UF Board of Trustees, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Return of Service - USF Board of Trustees, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Cerio, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Corcoran, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Diaz, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Edge, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Frost, # <u>13</u> Exhibit Return of

		Service - Gabadage, # <u>14</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Haddock, # <u>15</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Jones, # <u>16</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Lamb, # <u>17</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Levine, # <u>18</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Luccio Jordan, # <u>19</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Lydecker, # <u>20</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Mateer, # <u>21</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Scott, # <u>22</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Self, # <u>23</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Silagy, # <u>24</u> Exhibit Return of Service - Stermon) (EDWARDS, JERRY) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>24</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by DANIEL BOAZ TILLEY on behalf of RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL (TILLEY, DANIEL) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>25</u>	ORDER ADMITTING JASON LECKERMAN PRO HAC VICE. The <u>22</u> motion is GRANTED. Mr. Leckerman has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/30/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>26</u>	ORDER ADMITTING ISABELLA SALOMO NASCIMENTO PRO HAC VICE. The <u>21</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Nascimento has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/30/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022		Set Deadline- Attorney Admissions for Isabella Salomo Nascimento due by <b>9/13/2022</b> . (rcb)**Attorney Admission Email & Letter forwarded** (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/31/2022		Set Deadlines- Answer due by <b>9/22/2022</b> for FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, STEVEN SCOTT, WILLIAM SELF, ERIC SILAGY & KENT STERMON ***** Answer Due by <b>9/16/2022</b> for UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES & FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES***** (rcb) Modified to edit docket text per ECF <u>39</u> on 9/19/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>27</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by CHARLES JUSTIN COOPER on behalf of All Defendants (COOPER, CHARLES) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>28</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by John D. Ohlendorf.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7421874.) by TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, STEVEN SCOTT, WILLIAM SELF, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (OHLENDORF, JOHN) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>29</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Megan M. Wold.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7421891.) by TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY

		DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, STEVEN SCOTT, WILLIAM SELF, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (WOLD, MEGAN) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>30</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by John D. Ramer.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7421900.) by TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, STEVEN SCOTT, WILLIAM SELF, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (RAMER, JOHN) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>31</u>	ORDER ADMITTING MEGAN WOLD PRO HAC VICE. The <u>29</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/31/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>32</u>	ORDER ADMITTING JOHN RAMER PRO HAC VICE. The <u>30</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/31/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>33</u>	ORDER ADMITTING JOHN OHLENDORF PRO HAC VICE. The <u>28</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/31/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/31/2022	<u>34</u>	INITIAL SCHEDULING ORDER. Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1 Corporate Disclosure Statement Deadline set for <b>9/14/2022</b> . Rule 26 Meeting Report due by <b>10/14/2022</b> . Discovery due by <b>12/29/2022</b> . Status Report due by <b>9/30/2022</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 08/31/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
09/01/2022	<u>35</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Jacqueline Mabatah.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7424235.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (MABATAH, ISIUWA) (Entered: 09/01/2022)
09/01/2022	<u>36</u>	ORDER ADMITTING JACQUELINE MABATAH PRO HAC VICE. The <u>35</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 09/01/2022 (rcb) (Entered: 09/01/2022)
09/02/2022	<u>37</u>	Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER: Telephonic Scheduling Conference held on 9/2/2022. Parties discuss casestatus and schedule for <u>12</u> Motion for Preliminary Injunction hearing. Defendants response to <u>12</u> Motion, motion to dismiss/answer and counter witness declarations due <b>9/22/2022</b> .



		Plaintiffs Reply to <u>12</u> Motion, response to motion dismiss and rebuttal declarations are due <b>10/4/2022</b> . Defendants reply to motion to dismiss due <b>10/11/2022</b> . Preliminary injunction hearing set for 9:00 am on 10/13/22. Order to follow (Court Reporter Megan Hague (USDC-Tallahassee)). (vkm) (Entered: 09/02/2022)
09/02/2022	38	NOTICE OF HEARING RE: <u>12</u> MOTION for Preliminary Injunction: Preliminary Injunction Hearing set for <b>10/13/2022 09:00 AM</b> before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER. United States Courthouse, <b>Courtroom 5 West</b> , 111 North Adams St., Tallahassee, Florida 32301.  NOTE: If you or any party, witness or attorney in this matter has a disability that requires special accommodation, such as, a hearing impairment that requires a sign language interpreter or a wheelchair restriction that requires ramp access, please contact Victoria Milton McGee at 850-521-3510 in the Clerk's Office at least one week prior to the hearing (or as soon as possible) so arrangements can be made.  <u>s/ Victoria Milton McGee</u> Courtroom Deputy Clerk (vkm) (Entered: 09/02/2022)
09/02/2022	<u>39</u>	ORDER SETTING HEARING ON <u>12</u> MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND OTHER DEADLINES.The hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction is set for Thursday, <b>10/13/2022 09:00 AM</b> in U.S. Courthouse Tallahassee before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER. Defendants' answer or motion to dismiss, response to Plaintiffs' motion, and any declarations in support of their response, are due on or before <b>9/22/2022</b> . Plaintiffs' response to Defendants motion to dismiss, reply in support of their preliminary injunction motion, and any rebuttal declarations are due on or before <b>10/4/2022</b> . Finally, Defendants' reply in support of any motion to dismiss is due on or before <b>10/11/2022</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 09/02/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 09/02/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>40</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Alexsis M. Johnson.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7427593.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (JOHNSON, ALEXSIS) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>41</u>	ORDER ADMITTING ALEXSIS M. JOHNSON PRO HAC VICE. The <u>40</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Johnson has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 09/06/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>42</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Santino Coleman.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7428213.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (COLEMAN, SANTINO) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>43</u>	ORDER ADMITTING SANTINO COLEMAN PRO HAC VICE. The <u>42</u> motion is GRANTED. Mr. Coleman has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 09/06/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/09/2022	<u>44</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Jin Hee Lee.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7435154.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (LEE, JIN HEE) (Entered: 09/09/2022)

09/09/2022	<u>45</u>	ORDER ADMITTING JIN HEE LEE PRO HAC VICE. The <u>44</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Lee has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 09/09/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 09/09/2022)
09/16/2022	<u>46</u>	ORDER REQUIRING ATTORNEYS TO APPEAR AT TELEPHONIC SCHEDULING CONFERENCE IN RELATED CASE - Given the overlapping issues these two cases present, and that Defendants are represented by the same attorneys in both cases, the attorneys in this case shall appear at the telephonic scheduling conference at 11:00 a.m. (ET) on September 21, 2022, to discuss running the hearing schedules for both cases together. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 9/16/22. (sjb) (Entered: 09/16/2022)
09/16/2022		Set Deadlines/Hearings Scheduling Conference set for <b>9/21/2022 11:00 AM</b> in U.S. Courthouse Tallahassee before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER. (sjb) (Entered: 09/16/2022)
09/16/2022	<u>47</u>	<p>NOTICE OF TELEPHONIC HEARING: Telephonic Scheduling Conference set for <b>9/21/2022 11:00 AM</b> before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER.</p> <p>ALL PARTIES are directed to call the AT&amp;T Conference Line (see below)</p> <p>Conference Call Information</p> <p>You may dial into the conference call up to five minutes before start time. Call in number: <b>888-684-8852</b> When prompted for an access code, enter: <b>3853136#</b> If you are asked to join as the host, just ignore and wait until you are asked for a security code. When asked for a security code, enter: <b>4565#</b> Say your name, when prompted. You are now in the conference call. Remember to mute your phone when you are not speaking. <b>The Court asks that counsel NOT use cell phones or speaker phones</b> during the call as the quality of the audio connection is comprised by these devices.</p> <p><u>s/ Victoria Milton McGee</u> Courtroom Deputy Clerk (vkm) (Entered: 09/16/2022)</p>
09/20/2022	<u>48</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by CAROLINE ANDREWS MCNAMARA on behalf of All Plaintiffs (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) (Entered: 09/20/2022)
09/21/2022	<u>49</u>	<b>**PLEASE DISREGARD THIS ENTRY**DOCKET ANNOTATION BY COURT: ISO PLACED IN JUDGE WALKER'S REFERRAL FOLDER**</b> (rcb) <b>**ISO DOCKETED ON 08/31/2022**</b> (rcb). (Entered: 09/21/2022)
09/21/2022	<u>50</u>	Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER: Telephonic Scheduling Conference held on 9/21/2022. Parties discuss case status and schedule for <u>12</u> Motion for Preliminary Injunction hearing. Parties will keep the existing schedule for the <u>12</u> Motion. Defendants' motion to dismiss (for issues pertaining to <u>12</u> Motion) and counter witness declarations due by <b>9/30/2022</b> . Preliminary Injunction Hearing set for <b>10/13/2022 09:00 AM</b> in U.S. Courthouse Tallahassee before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER (Court Reporter Megan Hague (USDC-Tallahassee)). (vkm) (Entered: 09/21/2022)
09/22/2022	<u>51</u>	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS. (Internal deadline for referral to judge if response not filed earlier: <b>10/6/2022</b> ). (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Memorandum in Support) (COOPER, CHARLES) Modified to edit title on 9/23/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 09/22/2022)
09/22/2022	<u>52</u>	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. (COOPER, CHARLES) Modified to edit title on

09/30/2022	<u>53</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Joint Status Report on Discovery</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (BLANKENSHIP, KATHERINE) (Entered: 09/30/2022)
10/03/2022		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>11/2/2022</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 10/03/2022)
10/04/2022	<u>54</u>	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>12</u> MOTION for Preliminary Injunction filed by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (SYKES, EMERSON) (Entered: 10/04/2022)
10/04/2022	<u>55</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>51</u> MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (FAJANA, MORENIKE) (Entered: 10/04/2022)
10/11/2022	<u>56</u>	JOINT REPORT OF RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE. (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) Modified to edit title on 10/12/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 10/11/2022)
10/11/2022	<u>57</u>	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>51</u> MOTION to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, STEVEN SCOTT, WILLIAM SELF, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES. (COOPER, CHARLES) (Entered: 10/11/2022)
10/12/2022		ACTION REQUIRED BY DISTRICT JUDGE: Chambers of CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER notified that action is needed Re: <u>56</u> JOINT REPORT OF RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE. (rcb) (Entered: 10/12/2022)
10/12/2022	<u>58</u>	SCHEDULING AND MEDIATION ORDER. Jury Trial set for <b>9/18/2023 08:15 AM</b> in U.S. Courthouse Tallahassee before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER. The discovery deadline is extended to <b>3/31/2023</b> . The deadline for filing summary-judgment motions is 21 days after the discovery deadline, <b>4/21/2023</b> , but the motions should be filed at the earliest appropriate time. Mediation to take place by <b>4/28/2023</b> . Mediation Report due by <b>5/12/2023</b> . Case referred to mediation. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 10/12/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 10/12/2022)
10/13/2022	<u>59</u>	Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER: Motion Hearing held on 10/13/2022. Court hears argument regarding Plaintiffs' <u>12</u> Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Defendants' <u>51</u> Motion to Dismiss. Order to follow (Court Reporter Megan Hague (USDC-Tallahassee)). (vkm) (Entered: 10/13/2022)
10/14/2022	<u>60</u>	NOTICE OF FILING OF OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT of Preliminary Injunction Proceedings held on 10/13/2022, before Judge Mark E. Walker. Court Reporter/Transcriber Megan A. Hague, Telephone number 850-443-9797.  <i>Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</i>

		Redaction Request due <b>10/21/2022</b> . Release of Transcript Restriction set for <b>1/19/2023</b> . (mah) (Entered: 10/14/2022)
10/28/2022	<u>61</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Joint Status Report on Discovery</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) (Entered: 10/28/2022)
11/03/2022		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>12/5/2022</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 11/03/2022)
11/14/2022	<u>62</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by JACQUELINE NICOLE AZIS on behalf of All Plaintiffs (AZIS, JACQUELINE) (Entered: 11/14/2022)
11/17/2022	<u>63</u>	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. The Pernell Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, ECF No. <u>12</u> , in Case No.: 4:22cv304-MW/MAF, is GRANTED in part. Defendants Manny Diaz, Jr.; Brian Lamb; Eric Silagy; Timothy M. Cerio; Richard Corcoran; Aubrey Edge; Patricia Frost; Nimna Gabadage; Edward Haddock; Ken Jones; Darlene Luccio Jordan; Alan Levine; Charles H. Lydecker; Craig Mateer; Steven M. Scott; Deanna Michael; and Kent Stermon-all in their official capacities as members of the Florida Board of Governors of the State University System-must take no steps to enforce the following until otherwise ordered: a. Sections 1000.05(4)(a)(b), Florida Statutes; and b. the Board of Governors's Regulation 10.005(2)(3) and (4)(d). The preliminary injunction binds the above-listed Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys-and others in active concert or participation with any of them-who receive actual notice of this injunction by personal service or otherwise. The motion, ECF No. <u>12</u> , in Case No.: 4:22cv304-MW/MAF is otherwise DENIED in part as to Defendants the University of Florida Board of Trustees; the University of South Florida Board of Trustees; the Florida International University Board of Trustees; the Florida A&M University Board of Trustees; the Florida State University Board of Trustees; and the University of Central Florida Board of Trustees. The Novoa Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, ECF No. 19, in Case No.: 4:22cv324-MW/MAF, is GRANTED in part. Defendants Manny Diaz, Jr.; Brian Lamb; Eric Silagy; Timothy M. Cerio; Richard Corcoran; Aubrey Edge; Patricia Frost; Nimna Gabadage; Edward Haddock; Ken Jones; Darlene Luccio Jordan; Alan Levine; Charles H. Lydecker; Craig Mateer; Deanna Michael; Steven M. Scott; and Kent Stermon-all in their official capacities as members of the Florida Board of Governors of the State University System-must take no steps to enforce the following until otherwise ordered: a. Sections 1000.05(4)(a)1.3., 5., and 7., Florida Statutes, and Section 1000.05(4)(b), Florida Statutes as to those concepts; b. the Board of Governors's Regulation 10.005(2)(3) and (4)(d) as to the first, second, third, fifth, and seventh concepts listed in Regulation 10.005(1)(a)1.3., 5., and 7. Defendants Timothy L. Boaz; Sandra Callahan; Michael Carrere; N. Rogan Donnelly; Michael E. Griffin; Oscar Horton; Luran Monbarren; Nithin Palyam; Shilen Patel; Fredrick Piccolo; Melissa Seixas; Jenifer Jasinski Schneider; and William Weatherford-all in their official capacities as members of the University of South Florida Board of Trustees-must take no steps to comply with the following until otherwise ordered: a. Sections 1000.05(4)(a)1.3., 5., and 7., Florida Statutes, and Section 1000.05(4)(b), Florida Statutes as to those concepts; b. the Board of Governors's Regulation 10.005(2)(3) as to the first, second, third, fifth, and seventh concepts listed in Regulation 10.005(1)(a)1.3., 5., and 7. The preliminary injunction binds the above-listed Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys-and others in active concert or participation with any of them-who receive actual notice of this injunction by personal service or otherwise. The motion, ECF No. 19, in Case No.: 4:22cv324-MW/MAF, is otherwise DENIED in part as to: a. Defendants the Florida Board of Governors of the State University System; the University of South Florida



		Board of Trustees, and Julie Leffleris in her official capacity as the Inspector General of the Board of Governors; and b. The remaining Defendants' enforcement of or compliance with: i. Sections 1000.05(4)(a)4., 6., and 8., Florida Statutes; ii. the Board of Governors's Regulation 10.005 as to the fourth, sixth, and eighth concepts listed in Regulation 10.005(1)(a)4., 6., and 8. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 11/17/2022. (kjlw) (Entered: 11/17/2022)
11/22/2022	<u>64</u>	ORDER ON <u>51</u> MOTION TO DISMISS. Defendants' <u>51</u> motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. Plaintiffs' claims against the Florida Board of Governors, as an entity, and the various Boards of Trustees, as entities, are barred by the Eleventh Amendment and, thus, DISMISSED without prejudice. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 11/22/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 11/22/2022)
11/29/2022	<u>65</u>	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF APPEAL. ( Filing fee \$505 Receipt Number AFLNDC-7578019.) (COOPER, CHARLES) Modified to edit title on 11/30/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 11/29/2022)
11/30/2022	<u>66</u>	Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal. (rcb) (Entered: 11/30/2022)
11/30/2022		Set Deadlines re <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal. Clerk to check status of Appeal on <b>2/28/2023</b> . Certificate of Readiness (FRAP 11) due by <b>12/14/2022</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 11/30/2022)
11/30/2022	<u>67</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Joint Status Report on Discovery</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (WATSON, LEAH) (Entered: 11/30/2022)
12/01/2022		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>1/2/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 12/01/2022)
12/02/2022	<u>68</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Lauren A. Johnson.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7581580.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (JOHNSON, LAUREN) (Entered: 12/02/2022)
12/02/2022	<u>69</u>	ORDER ADMITTING LAUREN JOHNSON PRO HAC VICE. The <u>68</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Johnson has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 12/02/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 12/02/2022)
12/02/2022	<u>70</u>	MOTION to Amend/Correct <i>Complaint</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) (Entered: 12/02/2022)
12/02/2022	<u>71</u>	AMENDED DOCUMENT by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. <i>Amended Complaint</i> . (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) (Entered: 12/02/2022)
12/05/2022	<u>72</u>	ORDER FOR EXPEDITED RESPONSE. Defendants shall file an expedited response to this motion setting out whether they oppose the motion, and if so, why, on or before Friday, <b>12/9/2022</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 12/05/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 12/05/2022)
12/05/2022	<u>73</u>	USCA Acknowledgment #22-13992-J <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal (rcb) (Entered: 12/06/2022)

12/08/2022	<u>74</u>	RESPONSE to Motion re <u>70</u> MOTION to Amend/Correct <i>Complaint</i> filed by TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, DEEANA MICHAEL, STEVEN SCOTT, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON. (COOPER, CHARLES) (Entered: 12/08/2022)
12/08/2022	<u>75</u>	ORDER GRANTING <u>70</u> LEAVE TO AMEND. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' motion, ECF No. <u>70</u> , is GRANTED. Plaintiffs shall file their amended complaint as a separate docket entry on or before Monday, <b>12/12/2022</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 12/08/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 12/09/2022)
12/09/2022	<u>76</u>	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT against TIMOTHY CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN, MANNYY DIAZ JR, AUBREY EDGE, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, EDWARD HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, BRIAN LAMB, ALAN LEVINE, CHARLES LYDECKER, CRAIG MATEER, DEEANA MICHAEL, STEVEN SCOTT, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, MORTEZA HOSSEINI, THOMAS G KUNTZ, DAVID L BRANDON, RICHARD P. COLE, CHRISTOPHER T CORR, JAMES W HEAVENER, LAUREN D LEMASTERS, DANIEL T O'KEEFE, RAHUL PATEL, AMANDA J PHALIN, MARSHA D POWERS, FRED S RIDLEY, ANITA G ZUCKER, WILLIAM WEATHERFORD, MICHAEL E GRIFFIN, SANDRA CALLAHAN, MICHAEL CARRERE, N ROGAN DONELLY, OSCAR HORTON, JENIFER JASINSKI SCHNEIDER, LAURAN MONBARREN, NITHIN PALYAM, SHILEN PATEL, FREDRICK PICCOLO, MELISSA SEIXAS, DEAN C COLSON, ROGELIO TOVAR, CESAR L ALVAREZ, JOSE J ARMAS, DEANNE BUTCHEY, CARLOS A DUART, NATASHA LOWELL, CRISTHOFER LUGO, T GENE PRESCOTT, CHANEL T ROWE, MARC D SARNOFF, CARLOS TRUJILLO, KEVIN LAWSON, KIMBERLY MOORE, ANN MARIE CAVAZOS, THOMAS W DORTCH, JR, OTIS CLIATT, II, MICHAEL DUBOSE, KRISTIN HARPER, BELVIN PERRY, JR, CRAIG REED, KENWARD STONE, NICOLE WASHINGTON, ZACHARY CHANDLER BELL, PETER COLLINS, MAXIMO ALVAREZ, KATHRYN BALLARD, ERIC CHICKEN, VIVIAN DE LAS CUEVAS-DIAZ, JORGE GONZALEZ, JUSTIN ROTH, BOB SASSER, JOHN THIEL, DREW WEATHERFORD, JIM W HENDERSON, DEBORAH SARGEANT, ALEX MARTINS, HAROLD MILLS, TIFFANY ALTIZER, BILL CHRISTY, JEFF CONDELLO, JOSEPH CONTE, DANNY GAEKWAD, STEPHEN KING, DANIELLA LOPEZ, CARYL MCALPIN, JOHN MIKLOS, MICHAEL OKATY, BEVERLY J SEAY, filed by MARVIN DUNN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, LEROY PERNELL, RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, SHELLEY PARK, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (SYKES, EMERSON) (Entered: 12/09/2022)
12/12/2022	<u>77</u>	Pursuant to F.R.A.P. 11(c), #22-13992-J the Clerk of the District Court for the Northern District of Florida certifies that the record is complete for purposes of this appeal re: <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal, Appeal No. 22-13992-J. The entire record on appeal is available electronically. (rcb) (Entered: 12/12/2022)
12/13/2022	<u>78</u>	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM (OHLENDORF, JOHN) Modified to edit title on 12/14/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 12/13/2022)
12/15/2022	<u>79</u>	ORDER of USCA #22-13992-J as to <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal. Your Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice in this appeal has been GRANTED. (rcb) (Entered: 12/15/2022)
12/21/2022	<u>80</u>	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE (LUBIN, CATHARINE) Modified to edit docket text and to modify the event type to a motion from notice to appearance on 12/22/2022 (rcb). (Entered: 12/21/2022)

12/22/2022	<u>81</u>	ORDER ADMITTING CATHARINE LUBIN PRO HAC VICE. The <u>80</u> motion is GRANTED. Ms. Lubin has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 12/22/2022. (rcb) (Entered: 12/22/2022)
12/30/2022	<u>82</u>	ANSWER to <u>76</u> Amended Complaint,,,,, by TIFFANY ALTIZER, CESAR L ALVAREZ, MAXIMO ALVAREZ, JOSE J ARMAS, KATHRYN BALLARD, ZACHARY CHANDLER BELL, DAVID L BRANDON, DEANNE BUTCHEY, SANDRA CALLAHAN, MICHAEL CARRERE, ANN MARIE CAVAZOS, TIMOTHY CERIO, ERIC CHICKEN, BILL CHRISTY, OTIS CLIATT, II, RICHARD P. COLE, PETER COLLINS, DEAN C COLSON, JEFF CONDELLO, JOSEPH CONTE, RICHARD CORCORAN, CHRISTOPHER T CORR, VIVIAN DE LAS CUEVAS-DIAZ, MANNYY DIAZ JR, N ROGAN DONELLY, THOMAS W DORTCH, JR, CARLOS A DUART, MICHAEL DUBOSE, AUBREY EDGE, PATRICIA FROST, NIMMA GABADAGE, DANNY GAEKWAD, JORGE GONZALEZ, MICHAEL E GRIFFIN, EDWARD HADDOCK, KRISTIN HARPER, JAMES W HEAVENER, JIM W HENDERSON, OSCAR HORTON, MORTEZA HOSSEINI, KEN JONES, DARLENE LUCCIO JORDAN, STEPHEN KING, THOMAS G KUNTZ, BRIAN LAMB, KEVIN LAWSON, LAUREN D LEMASTERS, ALAN LEVINE, DANIELLA LOPEZ, NATASHA LOWELL, CRISTHOFR LUGO, CHARLES LYDECKER, ALEX MARTINS, CRAIG MATEER, CARYL MCALPIN, DEEANA MICHAEL, JOHN MIKLOS, HAROLD MILLS, LAURAN MONBARREN, KIMBERLY MOORE, DANIEL T O'KEEFE, MICHAEL OKATY, NITHIN PALYAM, RAHUL PATEL, SHILEN PATEL, BELVIN PERRY, JR, AMANDA J PHALIN, FREDRICK PICCOLO, MARSHA D POWERS, T GENE PRESCOTT, CRAIG REED, FRED S RIDLEY, JUSTIN ROTH, CHANEL T ROWE, DEBORAH SARGEANT, MARC D SARNOFF, BOB SASSER, JENIFER JASINSKI SCHNEIDER, STEVEN SCOTT, BEVERLY J SEAY, MELISSA SEIXAS, ERIC SILAGY, KENT STERMON, KENWARD STONE, JOHN THIEL, ROGELIO TOVAR, CARLOS TRUJILLO, NICOLE WASHINGTON, DREW WEATHERFORD, WILLIAM WEATHERFORD, ANITA G ZUCKER. (COOPER, CHARLES) (Entered: 12/30/2022)
12/30/2022	<u>83</u>	STATUS REPORT by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (BLANKENSHIP, KATHERINE) (Entered: 12/30/2022)
12/30/2022		Set Deadline - Status Report due by <b>1/30/2023</b> . (amm) (Entered: 01/03/2023)
01/06/2023	<u>84</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Anna Occhipinti.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7626768.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (OCCHIPINTI, ANNA) (Entered: 01/06/2023)
01/06/2023	<u>85</u>	ORDER ADMITTING ANNA OCCHIPINTI PRO HAC VICE. Granting <u>84</u> MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Anna Occhipinti. Attorney ANNA OCCHIPINTI for RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL, DEBORAH SARGEANT added. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 1/6/23. (pll) (Entered: 01/06/2023)
01/11/2023	<u>86</u>	MOTION to Compel <i>COMPLIANCE WITH PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL,

		JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1) (FINGER, SARAH) (Entered: 01/11/2023)
01/11/2023	<u>87</u>	ORDER FOR EXPEDITED RESPONSE. Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs' motion to compel compliance with this Court's preliminary injunction. ECF No. <u>86</u> . Defendants shall file an expedited response <b>on or before 5:00 PM (ET) on Thursday, 1/12/2023</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 1/11/2023. (kjlw) (Entered: 01/11/2023)
01/12/2023	<u>88</u>	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL. (COOPER, CHARLES) Modified to edit title on 1/13/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 01/12/2023)
01/12/2023	<u>89</u>	ORDER DENYING <u>86</u> MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 1/12/2023. (vkm) (Entered: 01/12/2023)
01/13/2023	<u>90</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Supplemental Joint Status Report on Discovery</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (BLANKENSHIP, KATHERINE) (Entered: 01/13/2023)
01/13/2023	<u>91</u>	MOTION to Quash <i>Subpoenas to Non-Party Legislators</i> by Robert Alexander Andrade, Melony Bell, David Borrero, Juan Fernandez-Barquin, Randy Fine, Randall Scott Maggard, Ralph Massullo, Stan McClain, Tobin Rogers Overdorf, Bobby Payne, Rick Roth, Jason Shoaf, Tyler Sirois, Keith Truenow. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit subpoena, # <u>2</u> Exhibit correspondence, # <u>3</u> Exhibit proposed search terms) (XELMAN, DAVID) (Entered: 01/13/2023)
01/17/2023		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>2/16/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 01/17/2023)
01/17/2023		Set/Reset Deadlines as to <u>91</u> MOTION to Quash <i>Subpoenas to Non-Party Legislators</i> . (Internal deadline for referral to judge if response not filed earlier: <b>1/31/2023</b> ). (rcb) (Entered: 01/17/2023)
01/17/2023	<u>92</u>	(Construed as a Motion to Amend)STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING AND MEDIATION ORDER. Modified to edit title on 1/18/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 01/17/2023)
01/18/2023	<u>93</u>	AMENDED SCHEDULING AND MEDIATION ORDER. The motion, ECF No. <u>92</u> , is GRANTED. Jury Trial set for <b>9/18/2023 08:15 AM</b> in U.S. Courthouse Tallahassee before CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER. Discovery due by <b>6/2/2023</b> . Dispositive Motions to be filed by <b>6/23/2023</b> . Mediation to take place by <b>6/30/2023</b> . Mediation Report due by <b>7/14/2023</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 1/18/2023 (rcb) (Entered: 01/18/2023)
01/23/2023	<u>94</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Charles McLaurin.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7651237.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A - Certificate of Good Standing) (MCLAURIN, CHARLES) (Entered: 01/23/2023)
01/24/2023	<u>95</u>	ORDER ADMITTING CHARLES MCLAURIN PRO HAC VICE. The <u>94</u> motion is GRANTED. Mr. McLaurin has fulfilled the requirements of the Local Rules for admission and is admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Plaintiffs. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 01/24/2023. (rcb)** (Appointed CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN for RUSSELL ALMOND,CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN for SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN,CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN for DANA THOMPSON DORSEY,CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN for MARVIN



		DUNN, CHARLES EDWARD MCLAURIN for JENNIFER SANDOVAL)** (Entered: 01/24/2023)
01/27/2023	<u>96</u>	PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO THE LEGISLATORS' MOTION TO QUASH NON-PARTY SUBPOENAS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Ex. 1 - Email Correspondence) (EDWARDS, JERRY) Modified to edit title on 1/30/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 01/27/2023)
01/31/2023		Set Deadlines/Hearings Status Report due by <b>2/27/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 01/31/2023)
02/01/2023	<u>97</u>	NOTICE of <i>Withdrawal as Counsel</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL (SALOMAO NASCIMENTO, ISABELLA) (Entered: 02/01/2023)
02/06/2023	<u>98</u>	MOTION to Withdraw as Attorney <i>Lauren Johnson</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (JOHNSON, LAUREN) (Entered: 02/06/2023)
02/07/2023	<u>99</u>	ORDER GRANTING <u>98</u> MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL. This motion is, therefore, GRANTED. The Clerk shall disconnect Ms. Johnson from CM/ECF in this matter. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 02/07/2023. (rcb) (Entered: 02/07/2023)
02/22/2023	<u>100</u>	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING <u>91</u> MOTION TO QUASH. The Legislators' motion to quash, ECF No. <u>91</u> , is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The Legislators shall produce responsive documents subject to the limitations imposed by this Order on or before by <b>3/8/2023</b> . Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 02/22/2023. (rcb) (Entered: 02/22/2023)
02/23/2023	<u>101</u>	ORDER of USCA #22-13992 as to <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal Appellants motions to exceed the word limitation in their motion to stay and in their reply and Appellees motions to exceed the word count in their opposition to the motion to stay are GRANTED. Appellants motions to extend the time to file their replies is GRANTED. On its own motion, this Court CONSOLIDATES Case Nos. 22-13992 and 22-13994. (rcb) (Entered: 02/23/2023)
02/27/2023	<u>102</u>	STATUS REPORT by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (MCNAMARA, CAROLINE) (Entered: 02/27/2023)
02/28/2023		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>3/30/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 02/28/2023)
02/28/2023	<u>103</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by DANIEL WILLIAM BELL on behalf of Robert Alexander Andrade, Melony Bell, David Borrero, Juan Fernandez-Barquin, Randy Fine, Randall Scott Maggard, Ralph Massullo, Stan McClain, Tobin Rogers Overdorf, Bobby Payne, Rick Roth, Jason Shoaf, Tyler Sirois, Keith Truenow (BELL, DANIEL) (Entered: 02/28/2023)
02/28/2023	<u>104</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to <u>100</u> Order,, Set Deadlines/Hearings, by Robert Alexander Andrade, Melony Bell, David Borrero, Juan Fernandez-Barquin, Randy Fine, Randall Scott Maggard, Ralph Massullo, Stan McClain, Tobin Rogers Overdorf, Bobby Payne, Rick Roth, Jason Shoaf, Tyler Sirois, Keith Truenow. ( Filing fee \$505 Receipt Number AFLNDC-7732191.) (BELL, DANIEL) (Entered: 02/28/2023)
02/28/2023	<u>105</u>	MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY ORDER. (BELL, DANIEL) Modified to edit title on 3/1/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 02/28/2023)

03/01/2023		Set/Reset Deadlines as to <u>105</u> MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY ORDER. (Internal deadline for referral to judge if response not filed earlier: <b>3/15/2023</b> ). (rcb) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/01/2023		Set Deadlines re <u>65</u> Notice of Appeal. Clerk to check status of Appeal ##22-13992-J on <b>5/30/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/01/2023	<u>106</u>	ORDER GRANTING <u>105</u> MOTION TO STAY. Accordingly, the motion, ECF No. <u>105</u> , is GRANTED, over Plaintiffs' objection. The Legislators' deadline to produce responsive documents pursuant to this Court's Order, ECF No. <u>100</u> , is STAYED pending resolution of the Legislators' appeal. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 03/01/2023. (rcb) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/01/2023	<u>107</u>	Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re <u>104</u> Notice of Appeal. (rcb) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/01/2023		Set Deadlines re <u>104</u> Notice of Appeal. Clerk to check status of Appeal on <b>5/30/2023</b> . Certificate of Readiness (FRAP 11) due by <b>3/15/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/07/2023	<u>108</u>	ORDER of USCA #22-13992 as to <u>104</u> Notice of Appeal. Motion to withdraw as counsel filed by Lauren A. Johnson is GRANTED. (rcb)**No PDF attached to the USCA docket** (Entered: 03/13/2023)
03/14/2023	<u>109</u>	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM (BELL, DANIEL) Modified to edit title on 3/15/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 03/14/2023)
03/16/2023	<u>110</u>	STATUS REPORT   <i>Joint Status Report and Motion to Further Amend Scheduling and Mediation Order</i> by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order) (LECKERMAN, JASON) Modified to edit event type to a motion on 4/18/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 03/16/2023)
03/16/2023	<u>111</u>	ORDER of USCA #22-13992 as to <u>104</u> Notice of Appeal. Appellants' motions to stay injunction pending appeal are DENIED. The Clerk is DIRECTED to treat any motion for reconsideration of this order as a nonemergency matter. (rcb) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/17/2023		Set Deadline- Status Report due by <b>6/15/2023</b> . (rcb) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/17/2023	<u>112</u>	Pursuant to F.R.A.P. 11(c), ##22-13992 the Clerk of the District Court for the Northern District of Florida certifies that the record is complete for purposes of this appeal re: <u>104</u> Notice of Appeal. The entire record on appeal is available electronically. (rcb) (Entered: 03/17/2023)
03/24/2023	<u>113</u>	MOTION to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Emily "Emmy" Parsons.( Filing fee \$ 208 receipt number AFLNDC-7783384.) by RUSSELL ALMOND, SHARON WRIGHT AUSTIN, JOHANA DAUPHIN, DANA THOMPSON DORSEY, MARVIN DUNN, SHELLEY PARK, LEROY PERNELL, JENNIFER SANDOVAL. (PARSONS, EMILY) (Entered: 03/24/2023)
03/24/2023	<u>114</u>	ORDER ADMITTING EMILY PARSONS PRO HAC VICE. The <u>113</u> motion is GRANTED. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 03/24/2023. (rcb) (Entered: 03/24/2023)
04/17/2023	<u>115</u>	JOINT STATUS REPORT ON DISCOVERY. (LECKERMAN, JASON) Modified to edit title on 4/18/2023 (rcb). (Entered: 04/17/2023)
04/18/2023	<u>116</u>	ORDER STAYING ALL DISCOVERY DEADLINES. The motion, ECF No. <u>110</u> , is GRANTED. All discovery deadlines are hereby STAYED pending further Order from

this Court. The parties shall submit a joint status report on or before 5/9/2023, presenting their positions as to whether they are able to complete discovery by 6/2/2023, any appropriate deadlines related to discovery and expert disclosures, and whether this Court should further modify the amended scheduling and mediation order. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE MARK E WALKER on 04/18/2023. (rcb) (Entered: 04/18/2023)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
04/21/2023 14:21:03			
<b>PACER Login:</b>	fg2262	<b>Client Code:</b>	
<b>Description:</b>	Docket Report	<b>Search Criteria:</b>	4:22-cv-00304-MW-MAF
<b>Billable Pages:</b>	30	<b>Cost:</b>	3.00

**1**



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION**

LEROY PERNELL, DANA THOMPSON  
DORSEY, SHARON AUSTIN, SHELLEY  
PARK, JENNIFER SANDOVAL, RUSSELL  
ALMOND, MARVIN DUNN, and JOHANA  
DAUPHIN,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

Case No.: 4:22-cv-304

FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF  
THE STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM,  
BRIAN LAMB, ERIC SILAGY, TIMOTHY  
M. CERIO, RICHARD CORCORAN,  
AUBREY EDGE, PATRICIA FROST,  
NIMNA GABADAGE, EDWARD  
HADDOCK, KEN JONES, DARLENE  
LUCCIO JORDAN, ALAN LEVINE,  
CHARLES H. LYDECKER, CRAIG  
MATEER, STEVEN M. SCOTT, WILLIAM  
SELF, AND KENT STERMON, in their  
official capacities as members of the Florida  
Board of Governors of the State University  
System, MANNY DIAZ JR., in his official  
capacity as the Commissioner of the Florida  
State Board of Education, UNIVERSITY OF  
FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEES,  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA  
INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES, FLORIDA A&M  
UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES,  
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES, and UNIVERSITY OF  
CENTRAL FLORIDA BOARD OF  
TRUSTEES,

*Defendants.*

## **VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs LeRoy Pernell, Dana Thompson Dorsey, Sharon Austin, Marvin Dunn, Shelley Park, Jennifer Sandoval, Russell Almond, and Johana Dauphin, (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), by their undersigned counsel, bring this action against the Florida Board of Governors of the State University System; Brian Lamb, Eric Silagy, Timothy M. Cerio, Richard Corcoran, Aubrey Edge, Patricia Frost, Nimna Gabadage, Edward Haddock, Ken Jones, Darlene Luccio Jordan, Alan Levine, Charles H. Lydecker, Craig Mateer, Steven M. Scott, William Self, and Kent Stermon, in their official capacities as members of the Florida Board of Governors of the State University System; Manny Diaz Jr., in his official capacity as the Commissioner of the Florida State Board of Education; the University of Florida Board of Trustees; the University of South Florida Board of Trustees; the Florida International University Board of Trustees; the Florida A&M University Board of Trustees; the Florida State University Board of Trustees; and the University of Central Florida Board of Trustees (collectively, “Defendants”). For their Complaint against Defendants, Plaintiffs allege as follows:

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Instructors and students at Florida colleges and universities bring this challenge to House Bill 7 (“H.B. 7”), known as the Stop Wrongs Against Our Kids and Employees (“Stop W.O.K.E.”) Act (hereinafter the “Stop W.O.K.E. Act”),

which prohibits instructors and students from expressing viewpoints disfavored by the Florida legislature on a range of topics, including systemic racism and sexism.

2. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act is racially motivated censorship that the Florida legislature enacted, in significant part, to stifle widespread demands to discuss, study, and address systemic inequalities, following the nationwide protests that provoked discussions about race and racism in the aftermath of the murder of George Floyd.

3. As the United States Supreme Court has recognized, “No one should underestimate the vital role in a democracy that is played by those who guide and train our youth. To impose any strait jacket upon the intellectual leaders in our colleges and universities would imperil the future of our Nation.” *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, 354 U.S. 234, 250 (1957). This is especially “true in the social sciences”—the area of scholarship most directly impacted by the Act—“where few, if any, principles are accepted as absolutes.” *Id.* Thus, it is imperative that students and instructors alike “always remain free to inquire, to study, and to evaluate, to gain new maturity and understanding; otherwise our civilization will stagnate and die.” *Id.*

4. Rather than allow these important issues to be debated and explored in public discourse, the Florida legislature—spurred on by Governor Ronald DeSantis—has endeavored to impose its own viewpoints in public higher

education. By enacting the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, the legislature sought to cut these discussions off by inaccurately defining certain viewpoints that it disfavors as discriminatory under the Florida Education Equity Act, Fla. Stat. § 1000.05. The Stop W.O.K.E Act allows for those viewpoints to be denounced—as they have been by leading state officials—while prohibiting those very same viewpoints from being supported. Not only does the law prohibit instructors from teaching the legislature’s disfavored viewpoints in the manner dictated by their disciplines, but its vague terms generate uncertainty about when and how the law will apply, thus creating an even greater chilling effect on academic expression.

5. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act’s title makes no attempt to hide its unconstitutional purpose. “Woke” speech is defined as “alert to racial or social discrimination or injustice,”<sup>1</sup> and has been characterized by the legislature as speech concerned with civil rights, “privilege” and “oppression,” and even more broadly, “systemic racism.”<sup>2</sup> Governor DeSantis claimed that the Act was necessary to create a “woke-free state of Florida.”<sup>3</sup> The Act’s proponents also

---

<sup>1</sup> *Woke*, Oxford English Dictionary (3d ed. 2017).

<sup>2</sup> *See, e.g., 2/1/22 House State Affairs Committee* at 01:02:00—01:02:29.070, (Feb. 1, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/2-1-22-house-state-affairs-committee>.

<sup>3</sup> Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *Gov. DeSantis Announces Legislative Proposal to Stop W.O.K.E. Activism and Critical Race Theory in Schools and Corporations* (Dec. 15, 2021), <https://www.flgov.com/2021/12/15/governor-desantis-announces-legislative-proposal-to-stop-w-o-k-e-activism-and-critical-race-theory-in-schools-and-corporations>.

singled out certain topics to be excluded from the classroom, including Critical Race Theory,<sup>4</sup> a recognized academic theory and body of legal scholarship originated by legal scholars in the 1970s to identify and challenge the perpetuation of racial inequalities in social institutions and the law;<sup>5</sup> the 1619 Project,<sup>6</sup> a critically-acclaimed, award-winning journalistic work about the legacy of slavery in America; the pervasiveness of white privilege; and the goals of anti-racism.<sup>7</sup>

6. To further signal their disapproval of “woke” speech, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act imposes harsh penalties, up to and including withholding of funding for state colleges and universities, allowing for enforcement by both state officials and private individuals against instructors who teach the viewpoints disfavored by the legislature.

7. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act casts a pall over higher education in Florida. In place of free and open academic inquiry and debate, instructors fear teaching

---

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *Gov. Ron DeSantis Signs Legislation to Protect Floridians from Discrimination and Woke Indoctrination* (Apr. 22, 2022), <https://www.flgov.com/2022/04/22/governor-ron-desantis-signs-legislation-to-protect-floridians-from-discrimination-and-woke-indoctrination/>.

<sup>5</sup> *Race, Reform & Retrenchment Revisited: Can States Ban Learning About Our Full History?* AFRICAN AM. POLICY FORUM (Feb. 2, 2022), <https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/crsj/webinar/february-2022/aapf-crt-resources-feb2022.pdf>.

<sup>6</sup> Dec. 15, 2021 Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *supra* n.3; *The 1619 Project*, NEW YORK TIMES MAGAZINE, <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/08/14/magazine/1619-america-slavery.html> (last visited Aug. 17, 2022).

<sup>7</sup> 2/1/22 House State Affairs Committee at 00:53:59, *supra* n.2.

topics of oppression, privilege, and race and gender inequalities with which the legislature disagrees and feel compelled to curtail their course offerings and classroom discussions to avoid punishment. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act explicitly threatens race and gender-based speech, impacting a wide array of courses, in the humanities and beyond. As a result, students are either denied access to knowledge altogether or receive incomplete or inaccurate information from instructors that is steered toward the legislature's own views. These restrictions are particularly invidious because they target instructors and students from marginalized communities, especially Black instructors and students.

8. Not surprisingly, in the wake of the Act's passage, universities across the State of Florida took down public-facing statements that espoused anti-racist principles and canceled anti-racist trainings, creating a climate of increased racial hostility and harassment on campus by stigmatizing racial justice and its proponents and generating fear among Plaintiffs and other Black instructors and students who teach or take coursework in which the viewpoints disfavored by the legislature are likely to be discussed. Moreover, the law's vague terms and private enforcement mechanism chill speech and expression, including by narrowing campus discourse and gutting academic freedom; encourage a culture of surveillance to watch, scrutinize, and submit complaints for every act of instruction

that references viewpoints disfavored by the legislature; and invite arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement against these same instructors.

9. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act therefore violates a number of core provisions of the United States Constitution. It unconstitutionally abridges First Amendment freedoms by imposing viewpoint-based restrictions on the speech of instructors and the receipt of information by students in college classrooms. It violates the Due Process Clause’s prohibition against vagueness because it is difficult, if not impossible, for instructors to determine what is, and is not, prohibited by its terms. And it violates the Equal Protection Clause because it was enacted with the intent to discriminate against Black instructors and students and those who align with them. For each of these reasons, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act should be declared unconstitutional and enjoined.

## **II. PARTIES**

### **A. Plaintiffs**

10. Plaintiff LeRoy Pernell is a Black man and a Professor of Law at Florida A&M University College of Law (“FAMU Law”), a law school at a historically Black university. He teaches primarily in the areas of criminal procedure, torts, juvenile law, and race and the law. Dr. Pernell previously served as Dean of FAMU law.

11. This fall, Dr. Pernell will teach Constitutional Law II and Torts at FAMU Law. In the spring semester of 2023, he will likely teach Criminal Procedure: Pre-Trial Procedure, as well as Advanced Topics in Criminal Procedure: The Role of Race in Criminal Procedure. In each course, Dr. Pernell instructs on topics related to race and racial disparities.

12. Dr. Pernell believes that his teaching methods cannot comport with the Stop W.O.K.E Act because he would not be permitted to endorse the view that concepts like “neutrality, objectivity, and racial colorblindness” may have racist origins. To comply with the Act, however, would directly conflict with a core tenet of his pedagogy: the idea that the legal system is not, and has never been, race-neutral. Across his courses, Dr. Pernell asks his students to consider ways that the legal system is color-conscious and promotes privilege based on race. He thus fears that the Act will restrict his ability to effectively teach his courses and foster discussion on important topics—like systemic racism in the legal system—and to prepare his students to be successful lawyers and advocates.

13. Plaintiff Dana Thompson Dorsey is a Black woman and an Associate Professor of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies, with tenure, at the University of South Florida (“USF”). From 2020 to 2022, she served as the Endowed Chair and Director of the David C. Anchin Center for the Advancement of Teaching at USF.



14. Dr. Thompson Dorsey will teach two graduate level courses in the 2022-23 school year, School Law and Critical Race Studies: Research, Policy, and Praxis (“Critical Race Studies”). School Law is a required graduate level course in USF’s College of Education for students pursuing a Master of Education degree specializing in Educational Leadership. In this course, students review court decisions affecting American education with an emphasis on U.S. and state constitutional provisions and Florida state statutes. Critical Race Studies is an elective course for USF doctoral students that focuses on the development of Critical Race Theory and other race-conscious research frameworks; their treatment of race, racism, and racial justice and injustice; and their contributions to education policy, practice, and leadership praxis.

15. Dr. Thompson Dorsey believes that she will not be able to teach her courses in the same manner under the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. Her courses center on topics and viewpoints that are prohibited by the Act, including the systemic nature of racism, the origins of Critical Race Theory, and how Critical Race Theory addresses issues such as race, racism, and power in our country. In her courses, students learn that racism is deeply embedded in American society, and Critical Race Theory is an important lens to analyze case law, policy, and the world around them. Dr. Thompson Dorsey fears that these discussions may now be prohibited.

16. Plaintiff Sharon Austin is a Black woman and a tenured Professor of Political Science at the University of Florida (“UF”). She teaches courses in African American politics, minority politics, American government, public law, and public policy.

17. Dr. Austin will teach four courses in the 2022-23 school year: Politics of Race at UF, Urban Politics, Black Horrors and Social Justice, and African American Politics and Policy. Dr. Austin created the Politics of Race course following a request from UF’s Provost Office and after she received a social justice grant from UF in the wake of the murder of George Floyd and the nationwide political unrest that ensued.

18. Dr. Austin believes that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will impact her ability to teach all of her courses in the upcoming academic year because they involve instruction and discussion of viewpoints disfavored by the legislature. Dr. Austin regularly uses Critical Race Theory as a framework when teaching. She discusses ideas such as, people of different races carry different unconscious biases; that white people carry certain privileges that Black and Latino people do not; and that racial “colorblindness” can be a tool to oppress people of color. Dr. Austin believes that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will prevent students from hearing the truth about racism.

19. Plaintiff Shelley Park is a white woman and a tenured Professor of Philosophy and Cultural Studies at the University of Central Florida (“UCF”). She is also Affiliated Faculty in the Women and Gender Studies Program.

20. Dr. Park will teach four courses in the 2022-23 school year: Feminist Theories, Theories of Sex and Gender in the Humanities (“Theories of Sex and Gender”), Race & Technology, and Introduction to Philosophy.

21. Dr. Park’s courses study structural oppression, how it operates, and how to imagine and create alternative social structures. They do not question whether heterosexism, sexism, or racism exist because there is already consensus in her discipline(s). Likewise, there is longstanding consensus in her field that notions of merit, objectivity, and colorblindness, among other concepts, function to solidify systems of oppression—disguising biased standards as ones that are allegedly neutral. Thus, Dr. Park’s students learn to critically interrogate such concepts at the macro-level.

22. Dr. Park does not know how she can meet the instructional standards of her discipline without violating the law. She cannot teach her courses comprehensively without discussing systemic inequalities, a baseline presumption of the scholarship she produces, reads, and teaches. She was specifically trained to identify the ways that the notion of objectivity frequently reflects dominant white, straight, male values. To ignore the origins and functions of concepts such as these

in her teaching would require Dr. Park to fail to teach the history of ideas accurately. And to ignore the ways in which these concepts function today to privilege some forms of thought over others would also be unethical.

23. Plaintiff Jennifer Sandoval is a Latina woman and a tenured Associate Professor at the Nicholson School of Communication and Media at UCF. She is also the Assistant Director of Inclusive Culture at UCF. She teaches courses in interpersonal, intercultural, and gender communication. This semester, she will teach a graduate seminar called Intercultural Communication.

24. Dr. Sandoval believes that significant portions of her course curricula may be prohibited under the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. When teaching her students about why people of color are underrepresented in the field of communications—in part because of historical discrimination by white academics—students who feel uncomfortable might interpret her instruction as espousing the view that they bear responsibility for, and should feel guilty because of, historical discrimination by white people. As a result, students or others who hear about her class may raise complaints that she is promoting such views with her students.

25. Plaintiff Russell Almond is a white man and an Associate Professor of Measurement & Statistics in the Department of Educational Psychology and Learning Systems at Florida State University (“FSU”) in Tallahassee, Florida, where he has been on the faculty since 2010. In the upcoming 2022-23 school

year at FSU, Dr. Almond will teach Basic Descriptive and Inferential Statistics Applications, Missing Data Analysis, Bayesian Data Analysis, and Educational Psychology Colloquium. In addition, Dr. Almond currently serves as a director on the board of the Florida Educational Research Association.

26. Dr. Almond typically uses a number of studies that explore race as a variable in social science studies and instructs his students to be aware of the research surrounding race and its effect on educational and other economic outcomes.

27. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act impairs Dr. Almond's ability to use social science studies that discuss how race impacts educational outcomes. For example, prior to the Act's passage, Dr. Almond would regularly introduce studies that criticize "colorblind" educational policies, or argue that concepts like "merit, excellence and hard work" are not helpful assessment tools for evaluating groups and have racist origins. Group differences in achievement are better explained by differences in resources due to past patterns of discrimination. He feels constrained from doing so under the Act's requirement that he not endorse the view that these concepts may have racist origins or outcomes. Further, because Dr. Almond created his own handout on race in statistics, he does not know how he will be able to use this document going forward without "endorsement" of its content.

28. Plaintiff Marvin Dunn is a Black man and a Professor Emeritus at Florida International University (“FIU”) in Miami, Florida. During his tenure at FIU, Dr. Dunn started a Black history bus tour of Miami, funded by FIU, which he continues to lead despite his retirement. Prior to his retirement, Dr. Dunn taught psychology, with a focus on racial and ethnic minority communities, at FIU for 34 years.

29. On Dr. Dunn’s Miami tour, he brings FIU students and staff to areas of importance for Black Miami residents and teaches about the county’s history of racial violence and discrimination, as well as institutional racism that continues to this day. Dr. Dunn—who grew up in Florida during the Jim Crow era and has personally experienced the effects of segregation and anti-Black violence—features his own story and firsthand perspective throughout the tour.

30. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act impacts Dr. Dunn’s ability to instruct on institutionalized racism and historical events because he fears that the discussions about his past experiences of discrimination with white colleagues could fall under the Act’s prohibition against endorsing the viewpoint that anyone should be made to feel guilty because of their race. Further, and among other concerns, Dr. Dunn feels that he must now self-censor due to the law’s requirement that instructors be “objective” when discussing certain topics related to race, as it is unclear what it

means to be “objective” when discussing one’s own lived experience with racism and racial inequality.

31. Plaintiff Johana Dauphin is a Black woman and a senior at FSU, where she majors in International Affairs with a concentration in Urban and Regional Planning.

32. This fall, Ms. Dauphin is enrolled in two courses at FSU—Race & Minority Relations and Religion, Race, and Ethnicity—that she fears will be negatively affected by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. Ms. Dauphin signed up for the courses because she endeavors to learn more about racial justice and take classes that include the perspectives of people of color. She believes that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will result in the denial of her ability to receive information and instruction, particularly regarding race, racial disparities, and structural inequities, that will stymie her educational enrichment and post-graduate future, and minimize and/or ignore her lived experience as a Black woman. She further believes that she will be deprived of the opportunity to fully explore certain views and modes of analyses within these courses because her professors are now prohibited from endorsing concepts that had previously been central to coursework, such as white privilege, that suggest a person’s status as privileged is determined by their race. Ms. Dauphin therefore believes that she will be denied information and instruction

essential for her educational enrichment, which could make her a less competitive candidate for law school and a less employable lawyer.

33. Ms. Dauphin also fears that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will cause professors to avoid discussing race and racial disparities altogether, resulting in her perspective and lived experiences—as a Black female student—being minimized or ignored.

### **B. Defendants**

34. Defendant Florida Board of Governors of the State University System (“Board of Governors”) has the authority to “operate, regulate, control, and be responsible for the management of the whole State University System.” Fla. Stat. § 20.155(4)(a). The Board of Governors has enforcement authority under the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

35. Defendant Brian Lamb is the Chair of the Board of Governors. As Chair, Defendant Lamb is the official spokesperson of the Board and is authorized to “exercise such other powers and duties that inure to the office of Chair of a body corporate.” Operating Procedures, art. IV, § D(4).<sup>8</sup> Defendant Lamb is sued in his official capacity as Chair.

---

<sup>8</sup> Operating Procedures of the Board of Governors of the State University System of Florida art. IV, § D(4), [https://www.flbog.edu/wp-content/uploads/Draft-Board-of-Governors-Operating-Procedures-2020\\_01\\_30-Updated.pdf](https://www.flbog.edu/wp-content/uploads/Draft-Board-of-Governors-Operating-Procedures-2020_01_30-Updated.pdf).



36. Defendant Eric Silagy is the Vice Chair of the Board of Governors. As Vice Chair, Defendant Silagy performs the duties of the Chair and has the same power and authority as the Chair in the absence or disability of the Chair. Operating Procedures, art. IV, § E. Defendant Silagy is sued in his official capacity as Vice Chair.

37. Defendants Timothy M. Cerio, Richard Corcoran, Aubrey Edge, Patricia Frost, Nimna Gabadage, Edward Haddock, Ken Jones, Darlene Luccio Jordan, Alan Levine, Charles H. Lydecker, Craig Mateer, Steven M. Scott, William Self, and Kent Stermon are being sued in their official capacities as members of the Board of Governors.

38. The members of the Board of Governors have authority to determine when institutions of higher learning have “a substantiated violation of s. 1000.05(4)(a),” the provision of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act challenged here. Such a finding means that institution “shall be ineligible to receive performance funding during the next fiscal year following the year in which the violation is substantiated.” Fla. Stat. § 1001.92(5).

39. The Board of Governors also has rulemaking authority to “implement this section [Fla. Stat. § 1000.05] as it relates to state universities.” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(6)(b).

40. The day the Stop W.O.K.E. Act became effective, July 1, 2022, the Board of Governors began exercising that rulemaking authority by issuing a Notice of Board of Governors Proposed New Regulation 10.005, entitled “Prohibition of Discrimination in University Training or Instruction” to “implement recent amendments to section 1000.05(4)” enacted in the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. Under the Proposed New Regulation, if the Board determines that there was a knowing and willful violation of the law, “the university will be ineligible for performance funding for the next fiscal year.”<sup>9</sup> Board of Governors Proposed New Regulation 10.005(4)(d). The Proposed Regulation remains pending during the multi-month process for adopting rules under Florida law.

41. Defendant Manny Diaz, Jr. is the Florida Commissioner of Education and a member of the Board of Governors. He is responsible for submitting “recommendations for a coordinated Early learning–20 education budget that estimates the expenditures for the Board of Governors,” and all boards “under the general supervision of the Board of Governors or the State Board of Education for the ensuing fiscal year.” Fla. Stat. § 1001.10(6)(g). He is also “responsible for

---

<sup>9</sup> *Board of Governors Notice of Proposed New Regulation* (July 1, 2022) at 1 & § 4(d), <https://www.flbog.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/10.005NoticeofNewProposedRegulationJune2022.pdf>.

giving full assistance to the State Board of Education in enforcing compliance with the mission and goals of the Early Learning-20 education system, except for the State University System.” Fla. Stat. § 1001.10(1). Defendant Diaz is sued in his official capacity as Commissioner of Education.

42. Defendant University of Florida Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of UF, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The UF Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with UF’s mission.

43. Defendant University of South Florida Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of USF, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The USF Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with USF’s mission.

44. Defendant Florida International University Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of FIU, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The FIU Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with FIU’s mission.

45. Defendant Florida A&M University Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of FAMU, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The FAMU Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with FAMU's mission.

46. Defendant Florida State University Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of FSU, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The FSU Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with FSU's mission.

47. Defendant University of Central Florida Board of Trustees is a corporate body established by Florida law that serves as the governing body of UCF, which is part of the State University System of Florida. The UCF Board of Trustees sets policy for the university and is responsible for implementing and maintaining high-quality education consistent with UCF's mission.

### **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

48. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 because this action arises under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

49. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (e).

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **I. THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT & ENFORCEMENT REGULATIONS**

50. Contradicting the State University System's stated commitment to free expression, the legislature enacted the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, which unconstitutionally chills instruction in college classrooms regarding viewpoints disfavored by the legislature, including the existence of systemic racism and sexism.

51. Section 2(4)(a) of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act amends the Florida Educational Equity Act ("FEEA"), § 1000.05, and provides:

(4)(a): It shall constitute discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex under this section to subject any student or employee to training or instruction that espouses, promotes, advances, inculcates, or compels such student or employee to believe any of the following concepts:

1. Members of one race, color, national origin, or sex are morally superior to members of another race, color, national origin, or sex.
2. A person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously.
3. A person's moral character or status as either privileged or oppressed is necessarily determined by his or her race, color, national origin, or sex.

4. Members of one race, color, national origin, or sex cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race, color, national origin, or sex.

5. A person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex bears responsibility for, or should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment because of, actions committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, national origin, or sex.

6. A person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment to achieve diversity, equity, or inclusion.

7. A person, by virtue of his or her race, color, sex, or national origin, bears personal responsibility for and must feel guilt, anguish, or other forms of psychological distress because of actions, in which the person played no part, committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, national origin, or sex.

8. Such virtues as merit, excellence, hard work, fairness, neutrality, objectivity, and racial colorblindness are racist or sexist, or were created by members of a particular race, color, national origin, or sex to oppress members of another race, color, national origin, or sex.

(4)(b): Paragraph (a) may not be construed to prohibit discussion of the concepts listed therein as part of a larger course of training or instruction, provided such training or instruction is given in an objective manner without endorsement of the concepts.

52. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act provides that the list of banned concepts may be presented in an objective manner without endorsement, but the law provides no

explanation of what it would mean to give instruction in “an objective manner” or “without endorsement.” For example, it is unclear whether characterizing a study as “methodologically sound” constitutes “endorsement.” Similarly, when describing various approaches as valid, an instructor does not know whether “endorsement” requires prioritization. And there is no guidance as to whether an “endorsement” occurs when instructors distinguish between fact and misinformation, as generally recognized within their discipline.

53. Instructors in higher education regularly provide—indeed are often expected to provide—“endorsement” or other normative positions on the subjects of their expertise which they were hired by the State University System to teach to their students. To uphold the standard of their disciplines, instructors must identify and teach foundational, widely accepted principles, even when those viewpoints are disfavored by the legislature. Instructors endorse conclusions through their own scholarship as well. Asking higher education instructors to avoid “endorsement” of ideas within their fields of instruction puts them in an impossible situation, forcing them to choose between guessing what might violate the law and providing students with the perspective on academic consensus and debate necessary for their studies and to be fully prepared for their chosen profession.

54. For example, Professor Pernell is the author of textual materials he assigns to law students as part of his Criminal Procedure classes. His students may



interpret discussions of his scholarship on the lack of race neutrality in the legal system in the text as an endorsement of the view that the concept of colorblindness is racist.

55. As a feminist philosopher and cultural studies theorist, Dr. Park must teach students to build upon widely accepted foundational principles. The existence of sexism, heterosexism, racism, and other forms of oppression and privilege has been well-documented with several decades of empirical research and is the starting place for her courses. Queer studies and feminist scholars understand and have been trained to view the normative construction of masculinity (e.g. as dominant, as heterosexual, as white) as inherently harmful. Dr. Park's identification of these concepts as foundational for disciplinary study may be perceived as an endorsement of a view prohibited by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

56. The FEEA already prohibits “[d]iscrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, religion, or marital status against a student or an employee in the state system of public K-20 education.” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(2)(a). Subsection (2) includes further protection against exclusion from participation, restricting access in criteria for admissions, and unavailability of classes to all students. Subsection (3) prohibits discrimination “on the basis of sex” in athletic programs.

57. The FEEA also authorizes enforcement of anti-discrimination provisions in schools. Section 1000.05 contains a broad enforcement provision for private lawsuits, directs the State Board of Education to compel compliance, and authorizes the Board of Governors to implement anti-discrimination measures in state universities.

58. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act adds to this enforcement regime by creating a new category of discrimination codified in section 1000.05(4). As described above, this new category of “discrimination” does not actually regulate discriminatory conduct, it is a state-mandated prohibition on the expression of certain viewpoints disfavored by the Florida legislature.

59. Put simply, as a result of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, which the Board of Governors can enforce by removing performance funding for the following academic year, instructors could be fired or otherwise disciplined for expressing prohibited viewpoints. Not only do these provisions chill the speech of instructors due to fear of loss of employment or state funding, but individual state universities now are effectively forced to fire or otherwise discipline faculty who might violate the vague and undefined strictures of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

**A. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act's Restriction of Speech and Actions that Promote Racial Equity in Florida**

60. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act follows a long history of anti-Black violence, harassment, discrimination and neglect, and the suppression of Black activism and speech about those racial injustices in Florida.

61. For example, during the 1950s and 1960s, white civilians and police officers suppressed student protests of racial segregation in Florida. In May 1956, police officers in Tallahassee arrested two Black students who were protesting racial segregation on city buses. In 1960, during an attack known as Ax Handle Saturday, 200 white men used wooden ax handles to beat Black people in response to lunch-counter demonstrations in Jacksonville.<sup>10</sup>

62. Three years later, in 1963, hundreds of Black students from FAMU protested racial segregation of a local movie theater. The students were attacked by white police officers who beat them with nightsticks and dragged them into police wagons. Hundreds of students continued the protests at the county jail and were later convicted of trespass under Florida law.

63. In response to FAMU Law students' demonstrations protesting racial segregation, then Governor W. Haydon Burns collaborated with the Florida

---

<sup>10</sup> "Ax Handle Saturday," *a story*, AFRICAN AM. REGISTRY, <https://aaregistry.org/story/ax-handle-saturday-a-brief-story/> (last visited Aug. 15, 2022).

legislature to strip FAMU Law of its funding in 1965. The funds were used to establish a law school at formerly all-white Florida State University in 1966. After graduating its last students in 1968, FAMU Law remained closed for over 30 years until it reopened in 2002.

64. In 1973, in a case brought by Black principals, teachers, aides, and coaches of previously all-Black schools who were passed over for jobs in the integrated school system, U. S. District Judge Charles Scott ruled that, in the early 1970s, the Lake County school system's personnel decisions were "tainted by a racially discriminatory intent to, at least temporarily, shut out [B]lack school principals and assistant principals."<sup>11</sup> Indeed, Lake County had a documented history of racially charged harassment directed at Black residents, and was also the home of a Ku Klux Klan grand wizard in the 1980s.

65. In 1982, the U. S. Civil Rights Commission issued a report concerning unrest in Miami's Liberty City neighborhood in May 1980. The report documented decades of "neglect of the [B]lack community in housing, jobs, business, politics, education and the criminal justice system."<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> Ramsey Campbell, *Blacks Taking Long, Painful Road To True Equality, Justice*, Orlando Sentinel (Jul. 1, 1993), <https://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/os-xpm-1993-07-01-9306240432-story.html>

<sup>12</sup> David Hoffman, *Racial Isolation Caused Miami Riots, Panel Says*, WASH. POST (June 8, 1982),  
Con't)

66. Not until 1992, after 130 years, did public officials change the racially charged names of a marsh and a bygone Civil War-era shanty town on official maps of Highlands County, located in south-central Florida.

67. In 1996, a white police officer fatally shot an unarmed Black teenager in St. Petersburg, after pulling him over for speeding. The killing eerily echoes other well documented killings in recent years, as witnesses disputed the officer's account of the events that led to the officer firing several shots.

68. That same year, there were a number of burnings of Black churches in Florida, which were widely blamed on white extremist groups.

69. In 1999, two pipe bombs were detonated on FAMU's campus. After each bombing, local television stations received violent, racist calls. A federal jury determined that "the bombings were motivated by racial prejudice."<sup>13</sup>

70. In recent years, activists in Florida have continued to press the state to not only acknowledge this history of anti-Black violence and repression, but also to promote a more racially just society.

---

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1982/06/08/racial-isolation-caused-miami-riots-panel-says/a99115c4-00e6-4ebc-a490-42dea34dd223/>.

<sup>13</sup> Press Release, Department of Justice, U.S. Attorney's Office, *N.D. Fla., Convicted Pipe Bomber Sentenced to 33 More Years in Federal Prison* (Nov. 19, 2020), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndfl/pr/convicted-pipe-bomber-sentenced-33-more-years-federal-prison>.

**B. Demands for Racial Justice from Black Activists and Black-Led Organizations in Florida Over the Past Decade**

71. Since 2012, beginning with the killing of Trayvon Martin in Florida, Black-led organizations, schools, and students have engaged in protests and other actions to encourage discussions about race, racial justice, systemic racism, and white privilege.

72. Both the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (“NAACP”) and local Florida NAACP branches organized marches across the State of Florida, joined by thousands, to protest Martin’s death and condemn anti-Black violence. Rallies were also organized by student groups like the Black Law Students Association of FAMU Law.

73. Following the killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, and Ahmaud Arbery in 2020, Floridians and Americans—of all races—across the country increased their calls for advancing racial justice throughout all sectors of society. A multiracial coalition of millions of people engaged in racial justice demonstrations, often organized under the banner of Black Lives Matter, making the 2020 protests one of the most significant mass movements in the country’s history. Across Florida, thousands of peaceful protestors marched for racial justice in Tallahassee, Miami, Ft. Lauderdale, Tampa, Orlando, Gainesville, Jacksonville, and many other cities.

74. In the summer of 2020, the UF chapter of the NAACP, the UF Black Effort, the UF Black Student Union (BSU), and the UF Black Graduate Student Organization worked with other community groups to organize racial justice protests and calls to action in Gainesville.<sup>14</sup>

75. That fall, the UF NAACP, BSU, and African Student Union helped organize a public protest calling for the university to rename “J. Wayne Reitz Student Union,”<sup>15</sup> due to Reitz’s history as an ardent segregationist who denied Black students entry to UF.<sup>16</sup>

76. These calls for racial justice reflected a larger shift across the country. According to a 2020 study conducted by Monmouth University, a newfound majority of Americans agreed that: “racism and discrimination is a ‘big problem,’” and “there’s a lot of discrimination against [B]lack Americans in society.”<sup>17</sup>

---

<sup>14</sup> 2020 Black Lives Matter Protests, UNIV. OF FLA. UNIV. ARCHIVES, <https://universityarchives.uflib.ufl.edu/explore-our-projects/2020-black-lives-matter-protests/> (last visited Aug. 15, 2022).

<sup>15</sup> Lianna Hubbard, *Students, employees protest Reitz Union name*, THE ALLIGATOR (Oct. 7, 2020), <https://www.alligator.org/article/2020/10/students-employees-protest-reitz-union-name>.

<sup>16</sup> Rajel Wolcove, *UF Students Hold Protest Demanding Name Change For The Reitz Union*, WUFT (Oct. 7, 2020), <https://www.wuft.org/news/2020/10/07/uf-students-hold-protest-demanding-name-change-for-the-reitz-union/>; Monique Miquel, *Opinion: Renaming the Reitz Union*, FLA. POLITICAL REVIEW (Oct. 18, 2020), <http://www.floridapoliticalreview.com/renaming-the-reitz-union/>.

<sup>17</sup> Nate Cohn & Kevin Quealy, *How Public Opinion Has Moved on Black Lives Matter*, N.Y. TIMES (June 10, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/06/10/upshot/black-lives-matter-attitudes.html>.



77. These social and legal shifts popularized discussions about how to dismantle racism and sexism and how to increase inclusivity, mainstreaming concepts such as “structural,” “systemic,” or “institutional” racism, and “racial privilege” or “white privilege.”

78. Instead of heeding calls for racial justice, the Florida legislature passed the Stop W.O.K.E. Act to exclude these discussions and sentiments from classrooms.

**C. Activism of Black Instructors and Students During and After the Summer 2020 Racial Reckoning and Responsive Actions of Florida’s Colleges and Universities**

79. In the summer of 2020, Black instructors and students publicly called upon Florida’s higher educational institutions to engage in more meaningful anti-racist work and make campus climates more inclusive. These instructors and students were joined by allies of all races seeking to push their institutions to act.

80. In June 2020, 33 Black faculty members at FSU released a public letter in which they voiced support for FSU’s Black students, denounced recent anti-Black violence, and recognized “the toll institutional and structural racism” takes on students of color.<sup>18</sup> In this letter, Black faculty also declared their commitment to address systemic racism in academia and “to deconstruct the present system and to begin to rebuild an academic space that is rooted in anti-

---

<sup>18</sup> Letter from Dr. Cameron Beatty, et al., to *Black Students at FSU* (June 4, 2020), [https://myweb.fsu.edu/jelsner/Letter\\_to\\_Black\\_Students\\_From\\_Black\\_Faculty.pdf](https://myweb.fsu.edu/jelsner/Letter_to_Black_Students_From_Black_Faculty.pdf).

racist ideology.” These Black faculty members also committed to holding the FSU administration accountable for addressing the campus’s racial climate, calling on university leadership to enact ten reforms to address historical injustices, promote anti-racism on campus, and better support Black students, faculty, and staff.

81. In June 2020, UF’s BSU created a petition asking UF to better protect the safety and well-being of Black students at UF.<sup>19</sup> The petition called for UF to implement mandatory diversity trainings for faculty and staff, increase the number of Black instructors and administrators, and re-open an investigation into racial harassment in November 2019, when a group of Black students were called the N-word by a white student.<sup>20</sup>

82. In June 2020, a group of Black students, alumni, and allies sent a separate call-to-action letter to the Dean and leadership at UF’s College of Education in June 2020 regarding their concerns about “the state of Black Life in society and more locally, within our college.”<sup>21</sup> Leadership at the College of Education responded by creating the Collective for Black Student Advancement.

---

<sup>19</sup> UF Black Student Union, *Improve Race Relations Here at the Univ. of Fla.*, CHANGE.ORG, <https://www.change.org/p/president-w-kent-fuchs-improve-race-relations-here-at-the-university-of-florida> (last visited Aug. 15, 2022).

<sup>20</sup> *Id.*; Ana Escalante, *Black students called racial slurs in SNAP van*, THE ALLIGATOR (Nov. 17, 2019), <https://www.alligator.org/article/2019/11/black-students-called-racial-slurs-in-snap-van>.

<sup>21</sup> Collective for Black Student Advancement, UF COLLEGE OF EDUC., <https://education.ufl.edu/cbsa/> (last visited Aug. 15, 2022).

The Collective has among its goals to “create an inclusive academic- and working-environment, in which best practices for addressing social justice, diversity, and anti-racism on behalf of students, staff, and faculty are emphasized;” and “[d]evelop curricular and extra-curricular experiences that delve into the intersections of race, racial history, and the field of education.”<sup>22</sup> As part of these efforts, the Collective proposed a new doctoral concentration called Critical Study of Race, Ethnicity and Culture in Education.<sup>23</sup> This concentration was approved by the College in the summer of 2021.<sup>24</sup>

83. In the fall of 2021, two instructors at UF published “A Way Forward: UF Scholars on Support, Obstacles and the Need for Institutional Engagement.”<sup>25</sup> Based on interviews with 39 faculty members across UF, the report identified and called upon UF to “more effectively support faculty whose work focuses on race or anti-racism.”<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>22</sup> See *id.*

<sup>23</sup> See Emma Pettit, *He Accused the University of Florida of Violating His Academic Freedom. The Provost Disagrees*, THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUC. (May 2, 2022), <https://www.chronicle.com/article/he-accused-the-university-of-florida-of-violating-his-academic-freedom-the-provost-disagrees>.

<sup>24</sup> *Id.*

<sup>25</sup> Dr. Katheryn Russell-Brown & Dr. Ryan Morini, *A Way Forward: UF Race Scholars on Support, Obstacles, and the Need for Institutional Engagement* (Univ. of Fla. Fall 2021), <https://www.law.ufl.edu/law/wp-content/uploads/UFRaceScholarshipReport-2021.pdf>.

<sup>26</sup> *Id.* at 2.

84. Many Florida colleges and universities responded to these demands by expanding discussions about systemic racism, equity, and inclusion in their curricula.

85. For example, the president of UF committed to placing a “focus on the Black experience, racism and inequity” for the 2020-2021 academic year, with each of the university’s colleges “featur[ing] speakers, seminars and courses” on the subject.<sup>27</sup>

86. At the University of North Florida, psychology faculty announced plans to “critically evaluate our curriculum and be intentional about including activities within courses that will target dismantling racism... includ[ing] discussing the history of structural and systemic anti-Black racism in psychological science as well as the ways in which racism continues in our field today.”<sup>28</sup>

87. Universities also made strides to address legacies of racism on their campuses. On August 24, 2020, UF removed the memorial of William Loring, a

---

<sup>27</sup> Letter from W. Kent Fuchs, *UF Anti-Racism Initiative* (June 18, 2020), <https://cdo.ufl.edu/strategy/uf-anti-racism-initiative/#:~:text=The%202020%2D21%20academic%20year,our%20curriculum%2C%20including%20UF%20Quest.>

<sup>28</sup> UNF Department of Psychology Addressing Racism & Promoting Diversity and Inclusion Committee, *Statement on Anti-Black Racism*, UNIV. OF N. FLA. (last visited Aug. 17, 2022), [https://www.unf.edu/coas/psychology/Anti-Black\\_Racism\\_Statement.aspx](https://www.unf.edu/coas/psychology/Anti-Black_Racism_Statement.aspx).

Confederate general, as part of its anti-racism initiative to “remove monuments or naming that UF controls that celebrate the Confederacy or its leaders.”<sup>29</sup>

88. On July 6, 2020, in response to recommendations from Black faculty, the president of FSU, John Thrasher, announced the creation of a Task Force on Anti-Racism, Equity & Inclusion in order to “identify racial and ethnic disparities on campus” and “implement a range of initiatives, such as developing mandatory diversity and inclusivity training for all campus employees and students and fostering the recruitment and retention of students, faculty and staff from underserved groups.”<sup>30</sup> This task force was led by Black faculty and administrators. In January 2021, Thrasher accepted the task force’s recommendations to permanently remove a statue of slave owner Frances W. Eppes from display on campus and to remove Eppes’ name from a university building.<sup>31</sup>

---

<sup>29</sup> *Central Initiatives: History, Symbolism and Demonstrating Behaviors Consistent with Our Values*, ANTI-RACISM UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, <https://antiracism.ufl.edu/central-initiatives/history/> (last visited Aug. 17, 2022).

<sup>30</sup> *President’s Task Force on Anti-Racism, Equity & Inclusion*, FLA. STATE UNIV., <https://president.fsu.edu/taskforce/> (last visited Aug. 16, 2022).

<sup>31</sup> *FSU President agrees to remove Francis Eppes name from College of Criminology*, WTXL (Jan. 26, 2021), <https://www.wtxl.com/news/local-news/fsu-president-agrees-to-remove-francis-eppes-name-from-college-of-criminology>.

89. University leaders across Florida also released public statements in which they committed to prioritizing anti-racism, equity, and inclusion on their campuses moving forward.

90. For example, in June of 2020 the president of UCF, Alexander N. Cartwright, declared the university's commitment "to not merely celebrate our diversity, but to be actively anti-racist."<sup>32</sup> UCF leaders also spoke of the "need to be comfortable with the discomfort born from honest, difficult dialogues about race and culture."<sup>33</sup>

91. Leaders at dozens of other Florida higher education institutions echoed these anti-racist sentiments, in recognition of the widespread desire among students and staff for increased action to combat racism and racial injustice.

92. This anti-racist work at Florida's colleges and universities also followed persistent complaints from Black students and other students of color about racial isolation, microaggressions, and racial harassment.<sup>34</sup>

---

<sup>32</sup> UCF Pres. Alexander N. Cartwright, *Our Future Is Inclusion*, UCF TODAY (June 2, 2020), <https://www.ucf.edu/news/now-is-our-time-to-be-actively-anti-racist/>.

<sup>33</sup> S. Kent Butler, *Now Is Our Time to be Actively Anti-Racist*, UCF TODAY (May 29, 2020), <https://www.ucf.edu/news/now-is-our-time-to-be-actively-anti-racist/>.

<sup>34</sup> JJ Burton & Rochelle Alleyne, *Local leaders, students want St. Pete Catholic school dean removed over alleged racist comments*, ABC ACTION NEWS (Feb. 1, 2022), <https://www.abcactionnews.com/news/region-pinellas/local-leaders-students-want-st-pete-catholic-school-dean-removed-over-alleged-racist-Con't>)

**D. The Florida Legislature’s Response to Anti-Racist Activism**

93. The sequence of events surrounding the Stop W.O.K.E. Act’s introduction and subsequent enactment reveals that it was enacted to suppress speech about systemic racism, white privilege, and “Critical Race Theory,” a term that the Act’s proponents misused and misconstrued as a catch-all term for all manner of race-conscious concepts with which the legislature and Governor DeSantis disagree. The Act’s proponents repeatedly criticized these concepts and the multiracial coalition that called for increased commitments to addressing racism across Florida. Although members of the legislature were put on notice of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act’s likely effect on anti-racist speech, including the disparate impact on Black instructors and students, the legislature went on to enact the Stop W.O.K.E. Act specifically because of these harmful effects.

94. On March 17, 2021, Governor DeSantis distorted, and dismissed, anti-racist teachings designed to encourage students to grapple with concepts like racial privilege, saying, “[t]here is no room in our classrooms for things like Critical

---

comments; David Williams, *A Florida school district promises a swift investigation after six middle school students are seen spelling out a racial slur in a photo*, CNN (May 18, 2022), <https://www.cnn.com/2022/05/18/us/florida-middle-school-racial-slur-photo/index.html>; Marlene Sokol, *Student petition: Combat racism in Pinellas schools*, TAMPA BAY TIMES (June 19, 2020) <https://www.tampabay.com/news/gradebook/2020/06/19/student-petition-combat-racism-in-pinellas-schools/>.



Race Theory. Teaching kids to hate their country and to hate each other is not worth one red cent of taxpayer money.”<sup>35</sup>

95. In April 2021, in direct response to protests for racial justice, and at the urging of Governor DeSantis, the legislature enacted House Bill 1, which created criminal penalties for protesters, increased criminal penalties for various protest activities, and essentially allowed Governor DeSantis to override a municipality’s decision to reduce the operating budget of its police department. *See Dream Defs. v. DeSantis*, 553 F. Supp. 3d 1052 (N.D. Fla. 2021). That same month, the legislature enacted Senate Bill 90, a restrictive voting law that was challenged by several non-profit groups, including the Florida NAACP, who alleged the law sought to curtail the very voting methods used most by Black Floridians. *See League of Women Voters of Fla., Inc. v. Lee*, No. 4:21CV186-MW/MAF, 2022 WL 969538, at \*53 (N.D. Fla. Mar. 31, 2022).

96. On May 28, 2021, Governor DeSantis referred to “Critical Race Theory” as “race essentialism” that “teaches people to view [race] as the most important characteristic. And obviously if you’re certain races, Caucasian and what not, they view that in a negative fashion. That’s not something appropriate for

---

<sup>35</sup> Brooke Singman, *DeSantis condemns critical race theory, says it won’t be taught in Florida classrooms*, FOX NEWS (Mar. 18, 2021), <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/desantis-critical-race-theory-florida-classrooms>.

schools.” When stating “they,” Governor DeSantis appears to refer to scholars, such as Plaintiffs, who use critical race studies in their coursework.

97. A few days later, on June 6, 2021, Governor DeSantis vowed to turn his “political apparatus” against Republican school board candidates that support “Critical Race Theory.”

98. On June 10, 2021, Governor DeSantis appeared via video at a meeting of the Florida Board of Education, minutes before the Board was due to vote on a rule that would ban Critical Race Theory and the 1619 Project in K-12 schools. He explained that “C[ritical] R[ace] T[heory]” will “cause a lot of divisions... [and] cause people to think of themselves more as a member of a particular race based on skin color, rather than based on the content of their character and based on their hard work and what they’re trying to accomplish in life.”<sup>36</sup> The Board of Education voted to adopt the rule, over strong objection of the Florida Education Association, a union which includes over 150,000 public K-12 instructors in Florida.

99. On June 22, 2021, Governor DeSantis signed House Bill 233 (H.B. 233) into law. H.B. 233 requires public universities and colleges to distribute an “intellectual diversity” survey to students and faculty, threatening budget cuts for

---

<sup>36</sup> Robbie Gaffney, *State Board of Education Bans Critical Race Theory From Florida Classrooms*, WFSU (June 10, 2021), <https://news.wfsu.org/state-news/2021-06-10/state-board-of-education-bans-critical-race-theory-from-florida-classrooms>.

institutions found to be “indoctrinating” students. *See Link v. Corcoran*, 21-cv-271 (N.D. Fl. Jul. 1, 2021). At H.B. 233’s bill signing conference, Governor DeSantis lamented the current state of higher education, saying: “Unfortunately, now the norm is, [university campuses] are more intellectually repressive environments. You have orthodoxies that are promoted, and other viewpoints are shunned or even suppressed. [Florida’s universities] are basically hotbeds for stale ideology. That’s not worth tax dollars and that’s not something we’re going to be supporting going forward.”<sup>37</sup>

100. Governor DeSantis’s use of the terms “orthodoxies” and “stale ideology” refer to race-conscious speech and related viewpoints, as he later described H.B. 233 as building on his prior initiatives to ban teaching of “Critical Race Theory” and the 1619 Project.<sup>38</sup> Moreover, later in the bill signing conference, a sponsor of H.B. 233 stated that the law was necessary due to the fact that universities were “placing a premium” on promoting racial and gender diversity.<sup>39</sup>

---

<sup>37</sup> First Coast News, *Gov. Ron DeSantis signs 3 education bills focused on civic literacy at Fort Myers middle school at 05:57—7:01* YOUTUBE (June 22, 2021), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sDIEJztGNXI>.

<sup>38</sup> *Id.* at 07:40—7:50.

<sup>39</sup> *Id.* at 20:57—21:19.

101. The Governor’s crusade against race-conscious speech and related viewpoints culminated on December 15, 2021, with the rollout of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act—the legislative proposal that ultimately became H.B. 7.

102. This proposal, which promised to be “the strongest legislation of its kind,” lays out five objectives:

Codifies the Florida Department of Education’s prohibition on teaching critical race theory in K-12 schools;

Prohibits school districts, colleges and universities from hiring “woke C[ritical] R[ace] T[heory]” consultants;

Protects employees against a hostile work environment due to critical race theory training;

Provides employees, parents and students a private right of action; and

Strengthens enforcement authority of the Florida Department of Education.<sup>40</sup>

103. During a press conference announcing the Stop W.O.K.E. Act that same day, Governor DeSantis warned of “pernicious ideologies,” like “Critical Race Theory,” explaining that the state’s educational institutions did not need terms like “equity.”<sup>41</sup> His office also described the proposal as the state’s latest

---

<sup>40</sup> *Stop W.O.K.E. Act Handout*, <https://www.flgov.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Stop-Woke-Handout.pdf>.

<sup>41</sup> First Coast News, *Gov. DeSantis introduces “Stop WOKE Act” to combat critical race theory in FL schools* at 07:40, YOUTUBE (Dec. 15, 2021), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kWUgjZrBkNY&t=352s>.

effort to thwart race-conscious initiatives in schools, and again took the opportunity to gratuitously criticize “Critical Race Theory” and the 1619 Project.<sup>42</sup>

104. The day after the press conference, in a nationally televised appearance, anti-CRT activist Christopher Rufo described himself as “aiding” Governor DeSantis in preparing the Stop W.O.K.E. proposal.<sup>43</sup> He went on to call race-conscious teachings “toxic,” “disgusting,” and “absurd.”<sup>44</sup>

105. On its face, the Stop W.O.K.E. proposal is designed to thwart “wokeness”—a term originated by Black Americans, dating back to at least the early twentieth century.<sup>45</sup>

106. Early usage of the term signaled the concept of being “well-informed” or “up-to-date,”<sup>46</sup> as described by Harlem novelist Melvin Kelley in a 1962 *New York Times* Op-Ed about slang in urban Black American communities.<sup>47</sup> The term has evolved to describe someone who is “alert to racial or social discrimination and injustice.”<sup>48</sup>

---

<sup>42</sup> Dec. 15, 2021 Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *supra* n.3.

<sup>43</sup> Yael Halon, *DeSantis’s ‘Stop W.O.K.E.’ legislation would make teaching CRT in Florida classrooms ‘illegal’: Rufo*, FOX NEWS (Dec. 16, 2021), <https://www.foxnews.com/media/desantis-stop-woke-legislation-crt-florida-illegal-rufo>.

<sup>44</sup> *See id.*

<sup>45</sup> *See Woke adjective earlier than 2008*, Oxford English Dictionary; *Woke up*, Oxford English Dictionary (3d ed. 2017).

<sup>46</sup> *Woke*, Oxford English Dictionary (3d ed. 2017).

<sup>47</sup> *Id.*

<sup>48</sup> *Id.*

107. Use of the term “woke” was popularized through its association with the Civil Rights Movement and, more recently, has been associated with the Black Lives Matter movement and calls for racial justice.<sup>49</sup>

108. In adherence to the Governor’s priorities and his repeated criticism of “wokeness,”—i.e., calls for racial and social justice—the Florida legislature took up the Stop W.O.K.E. Act in the 2022 legislative session.

109. Within a month of Governor DeSantis’s December 15, 2021 press conference, Representative Bryan Avila, the Republican Speaker pro tempore, introduced H.B. 7 in the House on January 11, 2022.

110. The same day, then-Senator Diaz filed Senate Bill 148 (“S.B. 148”), a companion bill to H.B. 7, in the Senate. At the close of the legislative session, Governor DeSantis appointed Senator Diaz as the Commissioner of the Florida Department of Education.

111. H.B. 7’s definitions of prohibited concepts mirror those included in Executive Order 13950 on “Combatting Race and Sex Stereotyping,” issued by former President Trump on September 22, 2020. The Order specifically banned what it called “divisive concepts.”<sup>50</sup> In December 2020, a federal court issued a

---

<sup>49</sup> *See id.*

<sup>50</sup> The “divisive concepts” were as follows: “the concept that (1) one race or sex is inherently superior to another race or sex; (2) the United States is fundamentally racist or sexist; (3) an individual, by virtue of his or her race or sex, Con’t)

nationwide injunction enjoining enforcement of the “divisive concepts” ban, finding, among other things, that the Order constituted an unconstitutional restriction on speech that was “so vague that it is impossible... to determine what conduct is prohibited.”<sup>51</sup>

112. Nonetheless, eight of the nine “divisive concepts” in the Executive Order, which was enjoined by federal court order, are included, nearly verbatim, in the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.<sup>52</sup>

---

is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously; (4) an individual should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment solely or partly because of his or her race or sex; (5) members of one race or sex cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race or sex; (6) an individual’s moral character is necessarily determined by his or her race or sex; (7) an individual, by virtue of his race or sex, bears responsibility for actions committed in the past by other members of the same race or sex; (8) any individual should feel discomfort, guilty, anguish, or any other form of psychological distress on account of his or her race or sex; or (9) meritocracy or traits such as hard work ethic are racist or sexist, or were created by a particular race to oppress another race.” *Combating Race and Sex Stereotyping*, Exec. Order No. 13950, 85 Fed. Reg. 60683 (Sept. 22, 2020).

<sup>51</sup> *Santa Cruz Lesbian & Gay Cmty. Ctr. v. Trump*, 508 F. Supp. 3d 521, 543 (N.D. Cal. 2020).

<sup>52</sup> Compare *supra* n.50 with the Stop W.O.K.E. Act: “identifying the following divisive concepts as: (1) [m]embers of one race, color, national origin, or sex are morally superior to members of another race, color, national origin, or sex; (2) [a] person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously; (3) [a] person’s moral character or status as either privileged or oppressed is necessarily determined by his or her race, color, national origin, or sex; (4) [m]embers of one race, color, national origin, or sex cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race, color, national origin, or sex; (5) [a] person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex bears responsibility for, or should be Con’t)



113. During the course of the legislative session, Representative Avila and Senator Diaz made clear to the legislature that H.B. 7 and S.B. 148 were designed to suppress speech about systemic racism, diversity, equity, and inclusion—the very speech championed by higher educational institutions, students, and faculty during and after the 2020 racial reckoning.

114. Representative Avila singled out concepts such as “white privilege,” “systemic racism,” and “affinity groups,” arguing that there was no place for discussion of such concepts in schools or workplaces in Florida.<sup>53</sup>

115. During debate before the House State Affairs Committee on February 1, 2022, Representative Avila explained that an acquaintance studying to become a math teacher was “learning how to identify ‘white privilege’” and “[t]hat to me, is

---

discriminated against or receive adverse treatment because of, actions committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, national origin, or sex; (6) [a] person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment to achieve diversity, equity, or inclusion; (7) [a] person, by virtue of his or her race, color, sex, or national origin, bears personal responsibility for and must feel guilt, anguish, or other forms of psychological distress because of actions, in which the person played no part, committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, national origin, or sex; and (8) [s]uch virtues as merit, excellence, hard work, fairness, neutrality, objectivity, and racial colorblindness are racist or sexist, or were created by members of a particular race, color, national origin, or sex to suppress members of another race, color, national origin, or sex.” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(4)(a).

<sup>53</sup> 2/1/22 *House State Affairs Committee* at 01:01:41—01:02:47, *supra* n.2.

not whatsoever something that should be allowed.... Certainly that is not relevant, I would say, to the teaching of math to elementary students.”<sup>54</sup>

116. Representative Avila identified “blatant” examples of the kinds of materials that would not align with H.B. 7 during the February 8, 2022 House Education and Employment Committee session, including Layla Saad’s *Me and White Supremacy*, Peggy McIntosh’s *White Privilege: Unpacking the Invisible Knapsack*, Robin DiAngelo’s *White Fragility: Why It’s So Hard for White People to Talk About Racism*, and a video about systemic racism, anti-racism, and white privilege.<sup>55</sup> Representative Avila later referred to these race-conscious materials as “absolutely un-American.”<sup>56</sup>

117. Representative Avila spoke to the sweeping reach of H.B. 7 during its second reading on the House floor on February 22, 2022, stating: “All material has to be in line with the principles that are set forth in this bill. If that material in any way, shape, or form, does not align with the principles in this bill, then that material would certainly not be permissible within a classroom.”<sup>57</sup>

---

<sup>54</sup> *Id.* at 00:53:59—00:55:00.

<sup>55</sup> 2/8/22 House Education and Employment Committee at 00:33:48—00:35:14, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Feb. 8, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/2-8-22-house-education-employment-committee/>.

<sup>56</sup> *Id.* at 00:50:45.

<sup>57</sup> 2/22/22 House Session at 01:38:49—01:39:05, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Feb. 22, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/2-22-22-house-session/>.

118. In the same discussion, Representative Avila characterized H.B. 7 as an effort to expand civil rights protections from being distorted by “movements,” continuing that “some movements threaten to take us backward, asking us to consider people not as individuals but as groups, assigning certain groups and experiences to people based on the group they fit into and not their individual experience” and “[t]hese movements confuse and muddle important history and civics lessons that should be taught.”<sup>58</sup>

119. In debate on the House floor on February 24, 2022, Republican Representative Ralph E. Massullo spoke in support of H.B. 7, specifically promoting the ideology of colorblindness in saying that young children “don’t seem to see race or sex or ethnicity” which is what is needed “to make our country a better place.”<sup>59</sup>

120. Later that day, Republican Representative Robert Alexander Andrade also spoke in support of H.B. 7, stating that it was not “an oppressive bill or even really a bill about civil rights necessarily,” rather it was a “free market bill.”<sup>60</sup> Representative Andrade characterized concern around H.B. 7 as “fear that the market cornered on victimhood is suddenly being jeopardized.”<sup>61</sup>

---

<sup>58</sup> *Id.* at 00:37:41—00:38:05.

<sup>59</sup> *2/24/22 House Session Part 1* at 00:35:15—00:35:38, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Feb. 24, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/2-24-22-house-session-part-1/>.

<sup>60</sup> *Id.* at 01:24:43—01:24:57

<sup>61</sup> *Id.* at 01:25:01—1:25:14

121. H.B. 7 passed the Florida House by a vote of 74-41, along party lines, on February 24, 2022. Of the 20 Black House members who voted on the bill, only one voted for its passage.

122. When the Senate took up H.B. 7 the following week, Senator Diaz repeated Representative Avila’s criticisms of the concept of white privilege. After Senator Diaz was asked whether discussions of “white privilege” would be off-limits, he responded: “I would say that there are some very specific topics listed including not imposing privilege or oppression on any particular individual based on race.”<sup>62</sup>

123. When asked why H.B. 7 singles out discussions of race, color, sex, and national origin, Senator Diaz responded that these statuses are “historically accorded the highest protection,” as compared to religion and disability status, and that this justified their inclusion.<sup>63</sup>

124. Although Senator Diaz initially said that H.B. 7 was developed after “parents’ concerns [were] brought forward,” when asked directly whether he had spoken to any Black parents as part of this process, he replied without qualification: “I have not.”<sup>64</sup>

---

<sup>62</sup> 3/9/22 *Senate Session* at 04:15:52—04:16:04, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Mar. 9, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/3-9-22-senate-session/>.

<sup>63</sup> *Id.* at 03:59:20—03:59:24.

<sup>64</sup> *Id.* at 03:49:46—03:50:34.

125. In the Senate Committee on Rules Hearing on March 1, 2022, when asked how racial colorblindness fits into H.B. 7, Senator Diaz explained, “I think the aspirational goal is the ideology that posits the best way to end discrimination is by treating individuals equally without regard to race, culture, ethnicity, sex....”<sup>65</sup>

126. On March 10, 2022, H.B. 7 passed the Senate by a vote of 24-15, on a strict party-line vote. Of the 40 state Senators, not a single Black Senator voted for H.B. 7’s passage.

127. At the same time, Florida GOP lawmakers involved in crafting the state budget added last-minute language making certain types of funding to public colleges and universities contingent upon compliance with H.B. 7.

128. Governor DeSantis signed H.B. 7 into law at a press conference on April 22, 2022, while standing shoulder to shoulder with Rufo.<sup>66</sup> In a press release issued the same day, he championed H.B. 7 as a tool for preventing the “the far-left woke agenda” from “tak[ing] over our schools and workplaces,” and thanked Rufo and former Senator Diaz for their roles in helping to codify the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.<sup>67</sup>

---

<sup>65</sup> 3/1/22 *Senate Committee on Rules* at 4:35:08—4:35:18, The Florida Channel (Mar. 1, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/3-1-22-senate-committee-on-rules/>.

<sup>66</sup> 4/22/22 *Signing of HB 7- Individual Freedom* at 00:28, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Apr. 22, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/4-22-22-signing-of-hb-7-individual-freedom/>.

<sup>67</sup> Apr. 22, 2022 Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *supra* n.4.

**E. The Florida Legislature’s Deviation from Normal Substantive Procedures**

129. The legislature lifted the language of the “divisive concepts” from former President Trump’s Executive Order 13950, even though these definitions were ruled unconstitutional over a year before H.B. 7 was introduced. When asked, Senator Diaz could not explain why the use of these same definitions was warranted.<sup>68</sup>

130. Though “anti-indoctrination” was touted as one of the key justifications, H.B. 7’s proponents could not provide any evidence of indoctrination in classroom discussions or university lectures in Florida. As Senator Diaz explained, “There are a number of materials that were brought to me across the nation that go into that, go forward into that perspective and that’s why we put that [prohibited concept] in there....”<sup>69</sup> Moreover, H.B. 7 does not achieve this end, given that it promotes indoctrination of the legislature’s preferred viewpoints.

131. Instructors—the most obvious subject matter experts for H.B. 7—repeatedly testified that the bill would make their jobs harder, not easier; that the legislature failed to identify a pedagogically sound rationale for the restrictions; that H.B. 7 fundamentally misunderstands how students learn; and that the bill was

---

<sup>68</sup> 3/9/22 Senate Session at 04:58:27.840-05:00:32.820, *supra* n.62.

<sup>69</sup> *Id.* at 04:00:10—04:00:21, *supra* n.62.

not needed. Importantly, the Florida legislature declined to formally consult with any instructors throughout the bill drafting process.

132. Instead, the legislature and Governor DeSantis's office consulted with Rufo, a Senior Fellow of the Manhattan Institute, a conservative think tank focused on domestic policy and urban affairs. Rufo describes himself as having "led the fight against critical race theory in American institutions,"<sup>70</sup> and has published several articles on the alleged dangers of teaching "Critical Race Theory," which he has described as an existential threat to the United States due to its purported attack on core American values.

133. Rufo has advised multiple state legislatures on crafting legislative proposals that restrict speech on systemic racism and inequality.<sup>71</sup> His stated goal is to give the term "Critical Race Theory" a "toxic" connotation and associate it with "the entire range of cultural constructions that are unpopular with Americans."<sup>72</sup>

---

<sup>70</sup> See *A little about me*, Christopher\_Rufo, <https://christopherrufo.com/about/> (last visited Aug. 16, 2022).

<sup>71</sup> Benjamin Wallace-Wells, *How a Conservative Activist Invented the Conflict Over Critical Race Theory*, THE NEW YORKER (June 18, 2021), <https://www.newyorker.com/news/annals-of-inquiry/how-a-conservative-activist-invented-the-conflict-over-critical-race-theory>.

<sup>72</sup> See *id.*

134. Rufo is not an educator or education specialist.<sup>73</sup> Nor does he have any specific expertise on Florida’s education system.

135. In a rushed process during the last few weeks of the session, the legislature added language to a budget appropriation bill, declaring that universities would be ineligible for performance funding in the subsequent fiscal year if there is “a substantiated violation” of the restrictions in H.B. 7.<sup>74</sup> This punitive addition substantially changed the impact of H.B. 7, making the economic survival of public educational institutions contingent upon the suppression of speech on race and racism. Furthermore, this addition, as it relates to H.B. 7, allows the legislature itself to determine whether any entity has violated the bill, giving outsized power to the legislature with respect to higher education.

## **II. THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT’S VIEWPOINT DISCRIMINATION**

136. Section 1000.05(4)(a) prohibits instructors from providing “instruction” to students that “promotes” or “advances” certain concepts, while allowing them to provide instruction that denounces those same concepts.

137. The Act not only targets certain viewpoints in a manner that is discriminatory and disparately impacts people of color, but it also prohibits teaching subjects that are pedagogically appropriate because they are grounded in

---

<sup>73</sup> See *supra* n.70.

<sup>74</sup> 2022 Fla. Sess. Law Serv. Ch. 2022-154, § 9.



reputable and widely accepted scholarship, such as case law, academic research, or well-recognized analytical frameworks.

138. For example, Section 1000.05(4)(a)8 prohibits instruction that promotes or advances that “racial colorblindness [is] racist,” but does not prohibit a professor or student from espousing the viewpoint that racial colorblindness is not racist. This provision would ban large portions of Prof. Pernell’s case book, *Cases and Materials on Combatting Racism in Criminal Procedure*, which promotes the view that the legal system has race-conscious and racist origins even if it presently purports to be colorblind. It also limits Dr. Park’s instruction that colorblindness perpetuates systems of oppression, despite longstanding consensus among feminist philosophers and critical race theorists.

139. At the same time, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act allows an instructor to teach that the legal system is not race-conscious and that colorblind approaches work to remedy past and present racism, despite ample evidence to the contrary.<sup>75</sup>

140. Similarly, Section 1000.05(4)(a)8 prohibits instruction that promotes or advances that notion of neutrality or objectivity are racist or sexist, but would

---

<sup>75</sup> See, e.g., H.A. Neville, M.E. Gallardo, & D.W. Sue (Eds.), *The Myth of Racial Color Blindness: Manifestations, Dynamics, and Impact* (Am. Psychological Assoc. 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1037/14754-000> (collecting and explaining the major flaws in the doctrine of racial colorblindness and its harmful impact on the lives of people of color); Cheryl I. Harris, *Whiteness as Property*, 106 Harv. L. Rev. 1709 (1993) (demonstrating how colorblindness is a form of race subordination in that it denies the historical context of white domination and Black subordination).

not prohibit a professor or student from espousing the opposite viewpoint. Dr. Park instructs her students on the ways that concepts of neutrality and objectivity reinforce racism and sexism. There is widespread agreement within her field that objectivity is a value extending from Eurocentric, colonial thinking that only recognized white, European men of upper classes as capable of reason. It would be unethical for Dr. Park to teach her students that these concepts are not racist or sexist, as required by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

141. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act also permits certain viewpoints regarding what “privilege” means and how it operates, while banning other perspectives. For example, under Section 1000.05(4)(a)3, an instructor could not express the view that white men enjoy a “status as... privileged” when walking around unarmed in public areas because, for example, they are significantly less likely to be murdered by police than Black men.<sup>76</sup> In Plaintiff Park’s Feminist Theory class, students read bell hooks’ classic text, *Feminist Theory from Margin to Center* and have the

---

<sup>76</sup> See, e.g., Reed T. DeAngelis, *Systemic Racism in Police Killings: New Evidence From the Mapping Police Violence Database, 2013–2021*, Race and Justice (SAGE Journals. Oct. 2021), <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/21533687211047943> (finding that Black victims of police killings are overrepresented and white victims are underrepresented, relative to the general U.S. population); Josiah Bates, *Police Killings Happen Far More Often Than What’s Widely Reported. Here’s Why the Numbers Are Off by So Much*, TIME (Oct. 1, 2021), <https://time.com/6102324/study-police-killings-significantly-underreported/> (“Black Americans were as much as 3.5 times more likely to die from police violence than white Americans”).

option to answer various questions about their privileges (or lack thereof) related to race, sex, class, and ability. They move around the classroom with each answer, providing a visual illustration of how privileges shape their own lives and the experiences of others. Under the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, both lessons would likely be banned as espousing the concept that one's status as privileged or oppressed is determined by race or sex. But one could teach that white privilege does not exist, that Black men and white men are never treated differently on account of their race, or that there is no correlation between race or sex and privilege or oppression. This sort of viewpoint discrimination is prohibited by the First Amendment.

142. The Act also prohibits instructors from teaching content “that... promotes... the... concept[] [that]... [a] person, by virtue of his or her race, color, national origin, or sex bears responsibility for, or should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment because of, actions committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, national origin, or sex.” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(4)(a)5. For Plaintiff Dunn, this subsection of the Act will inhibit his ability to teach his students about his own personal experiences of racism, as students may perceive his recounting of personal instances where white individuals discriminated against him to be an endorsement of the view that white people today bear responsibility for these past actions. However, Section 1000.05(4)(a)5 of the Act would permit instruction that denounces or denies these same

historical— and lived—experiences and concepts. This is an example of the state making an authoritative selection as to which ideas are permissible, and which must be censored due to the state’s disagreement with the viewpoint they espouse.

143. The Act also may prohibit teaching about scientific studies that reach conclusions that the Florida legislature finds disagreeable. For example, the law may implicate research testing the hypothesis that Black applicants for a job are less likely to be hired than white applicants with the same qualifications.<sup>77</sup> To test this hypothesis, researchers developed a methodology, gathered data, analyzed the data, and then published a study explaining whether the data supports a conclusion that the hypothesis is true or false. If an instructor believes that each of those steps was conducted reliably and soundly, then the instructor would logically believe the conclusion and promote its truth to students, just as instructors teach every other scientific principle. However, under the Act, whether the instructor is allowed to teach such scientific principles arguably depends on which viewpoint the research supports. If a study finds that Black applicants are equally likely to be hired as white applicants, then the study can be taught. If, all else being equal, another study finds that Black applicants are less likely to be hired than white applicants on

---

<sup>77</sup> Patrick M. Kline, Evan K. Rose, & Christopher R. Walters, *Systemic Discrimination Among Large U.S. Employers* (Univ. of Chicago 2021), [https://bfi.uchicago.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/BFI\\_WP\\_2021-94.pdf](https://bfi.uchicago.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/BFI_WP_2021-94.pdf) (finding that recognizably Black names are statistically measurably less likely to be contacted by potential employers for job interviews).

account of their race, then the study likely cannot be taught, because doing so could compel students to believe that “[a] person’s... status as either privileged or oppressed is necessarily determined by his or her race[.]” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(4)(a)3. And, if either the original researcher or the instructor recommended some type of affirmative action remedy that would make it more likely that Black applicants would be hired, then the instruction on the study could be seen as espousing the concept that “[a] person, by virtue of his or her race...should... receive adverse treatment to achieve diversity, equity, or inclusion.” Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(4)(a)6.

### **III. THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT’S VIEWPOINT-BASED RESTRICTION ON STUDENTS’ RIGHT TO RECEIVE INFORMATION**

144. The Act’s viewpoint-based restrictions not only have a chilling effect on instructors, but also interfere with university students’ “right to receive information and ideas.” *Stanley v. Georgia*, 394 U.S. 557, 564 (1969)).

145. Because instructors are prohibited from advancing particular viewpoints in the course of instruction, as discussed above, students are denied the right to learn from those viewpoints or engage in free, open, and robust discussion or even debate on the Act’s disfavored viewpoints. Without comprehensive instruction in their discipline, Florida students will be disadvantaged when applying to graduate school or participating in the workforce.

146. For example, Ms. Dauphin believes that Stop W.O.K.E. Act may cause her professors to sugar-coat discussions about race, and avoid discussing Black perspectives in class. She has taken courses in the past that delve into issues of systemic racism and unconscious bias and she believes such discussions are crucial for contextualizing her own experiences and preparing her to be an effective lawyer in the future. For example, she took a Race and Biology class that included research showing that Black and Latina women are stereotyped as having histrionic personalities, therefore causing doctors to be less likely to take their pain seriously. If instructors feel they cannot espouse the concept that individuals have unconscious biases, then they cannot fully educate students on all the nuances of, and biases within, professional systems.

147. Dr. Park shares Johana's concerns. Withholding instruction about structures of oppression disadvantages students. Without such instruction, students from dominant racial, gender, and sexuality groups will not understand the impact of those systems. Students from vulnerable populations will lack the analytic skills necessary to process their experiences. The value of student degrees in affected disciplines will decrease, making students in this field less marketable to future schools or jobs.

148. Another example of students being deprived of their constitutional right to receive information comes from Dr. Dunn's experience. During his tenure

at FIU, Dr. Dunn started a half-day Black history bus tour, where he takes his students to areas of importance to Black Miami residents. He discusses historical incidents of anti-Black violence, and a significant part of his instruction, through the presentation of these historical events, is on institutional racism. Dr. Dunn even provides firsthand anecdotes as examples, as he grew up in Florida during the era of segregation.

149. Dr. Dunn believes that, due to the Act, he cannot teach about his own lived experiences of segregation or racial discrimination because he recognizes that it could make white students feel sad or ashamed of the conduct of other, past white people. Dr. Dunn's ability to share his own experiences is censored by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act because he fears that a student will file a complaint against him or FIU will withhold his funding. Because of his fears, Dr. Dunn's students will inevitably be deprived of critical parts of his instruction and the free flow of information and ideas.

150. Given the Supreme Court's historic and recent recognition that learning how to tolerate speech of all kinds is part of learning to live in a pluralistic society, an essential character trait to a tolerant citizenry, *see Kennedy v. Bremerton Sch. Dist.*, 26142 S. Ct. 2407, 2415 (2022); *Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of State of N.Y.*, 385 U.S. 589, 603 (1967), state officials should be deeply concerned about denying students access to information in a viewpoint-discriminatory manner.

#### **IV. PROVISIONS OF THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT ARE UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE**

151. There are two independent reasons a statute may be void for vagueness: (1) if it fails to provide people of ordinary intelligence a reasonable opportunity to understand what conduct it prohibits; and (2) if it authorizes or even encourages arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement. Section 1000.05(4) fails on both fronts.

152. First, the law includes ambiguous language that instructors could interpret in multiple ways. For example, Section 1000.05(4)(a)4 includes the following prohibited concept: “Members of one race, color, national origin, or sex cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race, color, national origin, or sex.” The meaning of this language is indecipherable.

153. Section 2(4)(b) is also impermissibly vague. It states that the list of banned concepts “may not be construed to prohibit discussion of the concepts listed therein as part of a larger course of training or instruction, provided such training or instruction is given in an objective manner without endorsement of the concepts.” The law provides no further explanation or definition of the terms “objective manner” or “endorsement.” As such, instructors, including Plaintiffs, are confused about what it means to teach the concepts “in an objective manner without endorsement,” and must curtail their instruction lest it be interpreted as non-objective or as an endorsement. Fla. Stat. §1000.05(4)(b).



154. Although the University of Florida published guidance for instructors that includes recommendations for how to comply with the Act, the guidance does not define what it means to teach a concept “without endorsement,” and assumes that it will be interpreted differently by different instructors, who should “review their curriculum and presentations to determine if the way the material is currently written or presented could be interpreted as ‘espousing, promoting, advancing, inculcating or compelling belief’ in any of the concepts.”<sup>78</sup>

155. Professor Pernell, for example, does not know how he can discuss the viewpoints offered in texts he has authored without students perceiving this as endorsement.

156. Dr. Park does not know how to identify foundational principles of her discipline as widely accepted when they contradict the concepts in the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. She also does not know how the provisions apply to students engaged in classroom discussion. For example, her students may build classroom glossaries or provide examples to illustrate concepts. Can the class adopt a definition that conflicts with a concept prohibited by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act? What does the law require of Dr. Park if a student provides an example of privilege that

---

<sup>78</sup> *Introduction to H.B.7 FAQs: Does the University have any guidance for instructors who want to discuss the concepts objectively and without endorsement?*, Univ. of Fla. Office of the Chief Diversity Officer, <https://cdo.ufl.edu/hb-7/> (last visited Aug. 16, 2022).

is based on race or sex, as opposed to class or ability? Dr. Park does not know if the Stop W.O.K.E. Act limits her instruction to formal lecturing so classroom discussions do not venture into prohibited concepts.

157. Second, Section 1000.05(4) encourages discriminatory enforcement based on both its plain language and public statements contemporaneous with its passage. The Act’s title itself encourages enforcement against instructors who “embrace [] progressive activism.”<sup>79</sup> When Governor DeSantis announced the legislative proposal that became the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, he stated that the purpose of the law was to create a “woke-free state of Florida,” and listed several examples of views that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act would outlaw, including the distorted views “that the education system is guilty of ‘spirit murder’ against Black children” and that “‘all white people’ perpetuate systemic racism.”<sup>80</sup>

158. Lieutenant Governor Jeanette Nuñez also confirmed that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act would “put an end to wokeness that is permeating our schools and workforce.”<sup>81</sup> After its passage, she touted the Stop W.O.K.E. Act as the “first in the nation to end corporate wokeness and Critical Race Theory in our schools...

---

<sup>79</sup> Michael Ruiz, *What does “woke” mean?*, FOX NEWS (Dec. 7, 2021), <https://www.foxnews.com/us/what-does-woke-mean>.

<sup>80</sup> Dec. 15, 2021 Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *supra* n.3.

<sup>81</sup> *Id.*

prioritizing education not indoctrination,” vowing to “always fight to protect our children and parents from this Marxist-inspired curriculum.”<sup>82</sup>

159. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act was designed to, and in fact does, prohibit instructors from advancing those views, including views that the legislature distorts and mischaracterizes, and other viewpoints that address the past and present examples of systemic racism in the United States. The law is intended to silence professors who promote viewpoints deemed too “woke” for the legislature’s liking.

## **V. THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE’S INTENT TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST RACE-RELATED INSTRUCTION**

160. The legislators who passed the Stop W.O.K.E. Act were motivated by their desire to erase “woke” instruction, or critiques of white supremacy and analyses of systemic racism and sexism, from classrooms. The Act comes after years of robust advocacy for the very speech the Act seeks to suppress.

161. Throughout the 2022 legislative session, the legislature failed to justify the need for the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. Indeed, as Senator Lori Berman stated during a Senate session debating H.B. 7’s counterpart S.B. 148: “By my count, we are at least on round four of bills that address a problem that does not exist.”<sup>83</sup> Senator Shevrin D. Jones, echoed Senator Berman’s sentiment when he stated: “No one, nowhere has

---

<sup>82</sup> Apr. 22, 2022 Press Release, Gov. Ron DeSantis, *supra* n.4.

<sup>83</sup> 3/10/22 Senate Session Part 1 at 00:34:04—00:34:12.129, THE FLORIDA CHANNEL (Mar. 10, 2022), <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/3-10-22-senate-session-part-1/>.

ever said that any white person in this room is responsible for what happened in the past. Nowhere. No one. It is all a farce that we are sitting in this room. Wasting precious time.”<sup>84</sup>

162. Other well-established provisions in state and federal law exist to protect against compelled speech and race discrimination, including but not limited to the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Fla. Stat. §§ 760.01 *et seq.* and 509.092, which prohibits discrimination because of, *inter alia*, one’s race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

163. Moreover, although there were at least ten (10) proposed amendments that would have been less restrictive, legislators chose to enact the most restrictive provisions in H.B. 7. For example, Representative Angela Nixon sponsored Amendment 161453, which removed lines 211-331 of the Bill that provided a private cause of action and prohibitory language about teaching diversity and inclusion in schools. The other proposed amendments<sup>85</sup> removed (i) employer

---

<sup>84</sup> *Id.* at 00:39:49—00:40:16.

<sup>85</sup> Representative Kristen Arrington sponsored Amendment 982331, which removed lines 49-331 of H.B. 7, which allowed employees to sue businesses if they felt uncomfortable as a result of participating in training that may touch on diversity, equity, and inclusion. In addition, Representative Dotie Joseph sponsored two amendments, Nos. 728883 & 135269, which removed references to objective instruction and feelings of guilt, anguish, or other forms of psychological distress. Relatedly, Representative Kevin Chambliss sponsored Amendment 487075, which removed lines from the Bill concerning how instructors could use curricula to address unjust laws.

liability; (ii) the requirement to teach about race “objective[ly]”; and (iii) language related to indoctrinating school children.

164. The House rejected the amendments, and legislators chose to enact the most restrictive provisions in H.B. 7 despite hearing testimony from their colleagues and community members about the impact the Bill would have on people of color, particularly Black people, in the state.

## **VI. HARM FROM THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT’S RESTRICTIONS ON RACE-RELATED SPEECH DISFAVORED BY THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE**

165. Florida’s universities and colleges have already begun restricting access to the viewpoints and speech the Stop W.O.K.E. Act is designed to suppress. For example, UCF’s English Department retracted a statement on its commitment to anti-racism due to concerns that it violated the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

166. The statement read, in part, “There has never been a more important time for us to tackle these issues together, with long-standing inequities and discrimination made more visible by the pandemic and recent horrific violence further illuminating the systemic racism plaguing our society and impacting so many... Unconscious bias and white fragility are pervasive throughout society, and we recognize that they also exist among faculty. We have many students of color

in our department, but we recognize that we have not systemically made sure they feel welcome. We have work to do.”<sup>86</sup>

167. The UCF English Department issued a disclaimer on June 28, 2022, that: “As of July 1, 2022, the statement is suspended as it violates Florida law.”<sup>87</sup> By mid-afternoon on July 7, 2022, the disclaimer had been removed from the UCF English Department website. On July 13, 2022, the UCF English Department’s anti-racist statement was removed altogether from the site.

168. UCF’s Anthropology Department, Philosophy Department, and Physics Department, among others, removed similar statements regarding anti-racism at the same time. Each of these department statements were published in 2020 and 2021, as part of UCF’s commitment to promoting a more inclusive and racially aware environment for students, faculty, and staff in the wake of the murder of George Floyd.

169. Upon learning that UCF’s English Department rescinded this statement, Governor DeSantis’s office responded: “The UCF English Department ‘Anti-Racism Statement’ is mostly nonsensical Marxist drivel, but troubling in

---

<sup>86</sup> Brandon Wolf (@bjoewolf), Twitter (Jul. 07, 2022, 1:22 PM), <https://twitter.com/bjoewolf/status/1545095875906732032?s=20&t=UkSJ1S9MVZ5myT01PUtS7g>.

<sup>87</sup> Annie Martin, *UCF Department Briefly Scraps Anti-racism Policy Amid Concerns about New State Law*, Orlando Sentinel (Jul. 07, 2022), (Jul. 07, 2022), <https://www.orlandosentinel.com/news/education/os-ne-ucf-english-anti-racism-20220707-gtigoknfnbgxseezxpuyvae-story.html>.

areas that suggest intent to treat students/faculty differently based on skin color.”<sup>88</sup>

DeSantis’s office then said concepts such as “white fragility” and the term “Latinx,” both included in the former statement, are “evidence-free ideological constructs,” and that they welcome any institution who wishes to remove similar statements or initiatives to identify and address discrimination.<sup>89</sup>

170. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act has a chilling effect on instructors and students who are enrolled in race-related coursework. Plaintiffs and other instructors who use social science research and data when discussing race are faced with an impossible dilemma—run afoul of the Act or fail to teach ethically by presenting “both sides” in contradiction of widely accepted, research-supported conclusions in their field, such as, the fact that our legal system perpetuates historical racial disparities and that colorblind approaches cannot fully remedy these disparities. Endorsing that colorblind approaches are inadequate and have racist origins, however, is prohibited by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

171. For example, as Dr. Almond describes, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act “put me in the position of being forced to choose between my professional obligation to fully teach these standards to my students in order to prepare them for their careers and my obligation as a citizen to comply with Florida law.” Race is a necessary

---

<sup>88</sup> *Id.*

<sup>89</sup> *See id.*

component of Dr. Almond's course instruction, and the Stop W.O.K.E. Act limits his ability to train his psychometric students on certain required aspects of the American Psychological Association, American Educational Research Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education joint standards. This could lead to his students not only misunderstanding concepts that are necessary for their course of study, but further, not being not competitive in future courses of study or upon entering the workforce.

172. Dr. Almond discusses his own experiences with white privilege, which may be interpreted to violate the Act's prohibition against endorsement of the concept that an individual's "status as either privileged or oppressed is necessarily determined by his or her race."

173. In his courses, Dr. Almond teaches about potential inequities in school resources available to students from traditionally disadvantaged groups. His instruction includes discussions of the racist origins of concepts such as "merit" and how they contribute to educational disparities such as test scoring. Furthermore, Dr. Almond created a handout that discusses race as a variable in the field of statistics. The handout includes information about the role of race in colonialism and eugenics and the appropriateness of analyzing race in statistics. Dr. Almond feels limited in his ability to fully and openly discuss these issues



because of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act's prohibitions. Additionally, he fears that he could face punishment if a student raises concerns about the content of his courses.

174. Similarly, FAMU Law Professor Pernell feels compelled by the Stop W.O.K.E. Act to change how he teaches certain topics by modifying his course material. He worries that he can no longer assign and discuss the casebook he authored about racism in the criminal legal system.

175. In each of his courses, which include Constitutional Law II, Torts, and Criminal Procedure, Professor Pernell “ask[s] students to look critically at the legal system from the perspective that the system is very color-conscious and promotes race privilege.” Instruction on these topics may lead a student to accuse Professor Pernell of endorsing the idea that individuals have certain privileges on account of their race, which the Stop W.O.K.E. Act prohibits. The Act hamstring[s] Professor Pernell's ability to fully and accurately present these nuanced and complex issues, as he is concerned that the state government will strip FAMU Law of funding if he continues to teach students in the manner that he had prior to the Act's enactment.

176. Dr. Thompson Dorsey's School Law and Critical Race Studies courses build upon the understanding that racism is embedded in American society, a position widely accepted and supported by research in her field. In School Law, Dr. Thompson Dorsey teaches students how to recognize and counter manifestations of racism in schools. She cannot continue to identify systemic

racism and sexism in schools, and train students to do the same, if she censors the concepts listed in the Stop W.O.K.E. Act. Dr. Thompson Dorsey teaches Critical Race Theory in her Critical Race Studies course and uses this approach to trace issues of race, racism, and power. She cannot maintain this pedagogical approach and not endorse Critical Race Theory as an appropriate lens.

177. Dr. Austin shares similar concerns about the ability to use Critical Race Theory in her courses next year. In particular, Dr. Austin worries about how she can continue to use this framework to discuss ideas regarding unconscious biases that people carry based on their race and sex, and critiques of “colorblindness” as a concept that was created to perpetuate racial inequities, given that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act prohibits endorsing these very ideas. She fears she will no longer be able to use the Critical Race Theory framework in her courses about race and politics, and that her students will be exposed to fewer ideas as a result.

178. Dr. Park teaches that notions of colorblindness, gender neutrality, and objectivity reinforce racism and sexism in the status quo, principles widely accepted in her disciplines for 30-40 years. The fields in which she works largely concur that objectivity is an outgrowth of Eurocentric, colonial thinking that accorded this capacity (a function of reason) solely to white, European men of the upper classes. To present colorblindness, gender neutrality, or objectivity to her

students as undisputed virtues, as the Stop W.O.K.E. Act suggests, would require Dr. Park to abandon her scholarly and ethical integrity.

179. Dr. Sandoval's course on Intercultural Communication includes a unit on whiteness, in which she will teach that people of color are underrepresented in her field, in part because of historical discrimination by white academics. Students who feel uncomfortable—perhaps because they believe they benefit from the tendency to hire and promote white academics—might decide to complain about her for espousing the view that they bear responsibility for and should feel guilty because of historical discrimination by white people. Dr. Sandoval fears that, because of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, the consequences of those complaints could be dire.

180. FIU Professor Emeritus of Psychology, Dr. Dunn is concerned that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act curtails his ability to truthfully speak about institutionalized racism and his experiences growing up under Jim Crow laws because one cannot speak about their own experiences objectively, as the Stop W.O.K.E. Act requires.

181. FSU student Johana Dauphin is enrolled in Race & Minority Relations and Religion, Race and Ethnicity for the Fall semester. Race is a central theme in both classes. Because of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, Ms. Dauphin is concerned that she will not receive the same instruction as students who took these courses before the law passed. Ms. Dauphin worries that, because the Stop

W.O.K.E. Act bans instruction that advances the concept that a person's status as privileged or oppressed is determined by race, she will be deprived of relevant information about how race affects people's perceived or actual status in various contexts.

182. What's more, Dr. Sandoval has spoken to many UCF professors, a majority of whom are Black or Latinx, who have expressed their concerns about continuing to teach Critical Race Theory, or courses with a Critical Race Theory component, without being fired or losing job prospects. This fear also extends to tenured professors who are concerned that the enforcement of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will affect their five-year reviews.

## **VII. THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT'S DISPARATE HARM ON BLACK INSTRUCTORS AND STUDENTS**

183. First, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act specifically targets speech about "race, color, sex, or national origin"; "an individual's... status as either privileged or oppressed"; and "diversity, equity [and] inclusion." Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(4)(a).

184. Because of this, instructors who teach Critical Race Theory, race studies, ethnic studies, or otherwise discuss systemic racism, and gender and sex discrimination will be restricted in their ability to teach. Their instruction necessitates discussions of the prohibited concepts, causing them to fear that any reference may be construed as a violation of the Act. Instructors do not know

where the line is between presenting ideas and endorsing them, given that lectures are dynamic conversations during which multiple sides of an issue are discussed.

185. Second, Black instructors within Florida's State University System are more likely to teach courses on race studies, Critical Race Theory, ethnic studies, and other courses that involve the viewpoints that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act is designed to suppress. Plaintiffs Professors Dunn, Thompson Dorsey, Pernell, and Austin are all Black professors who teach on these topics.

186. Departments of African American Studies at FSU, FIU, and UF, where discussions of race, white privilege, systemic inequality, and other viewpoints disfavored by the legislature will predictably occur, are predominantly staffed by Black instructors.

187. Third, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will exacerbate existing racial disparities and inequalities for Black instructors by exposing them to retaliation and adverse treatment and putting their professions at risk.

188. Black instructors are less likely to be tenured than their white counterparts.<sup>90</sup> Black instructors who are suspected of violating the Stop W.O.K.E. Act may lose their jobs at an even higher rate.

---

<sup>90</sup> See, e.g., J. Nathan Matias, et al., *Universities Say They Want More Diverse Faculties. So Why Is Academia Still So White?*, FIVETHIRTYEIGHT (Sept. 7, 2021), <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/universities-say-they-want-more-diverse-faculties-so-why-is-academia-still-so-white/> (citing that Black instructors made up Con't)

189. Black instructors spend more out of classroom time engaged in diversity, equity, and inclusion work—the very type of work that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act seeks to restrict.<sup>91</sup>

190. When teaching the same material, Black instructors are criticized more harshly by students than white instructors.<sup>92</sup> It is therefore more likely that Black instructors’ discussions of topics related to the prohibited concepts will be negatively received, and that students, parents and other aggrieved parties will be more likely to file complaints against them based on perceived violations of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act.

---

only 11% of tenured and tenure-track faculty, and that white instructors made up 74% in 2019); Jalelah Abdul-Raheem, *Faculty Diversity and Tenure in Higher Education* (Journal of Cultural Diversity 2016), <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27439231/> (“Faculty members of color and women face several barriers that effect tenure and promotion and are important resources for changing society and advocating for equity.”).

<sup>91</sup> Selena A. Carrion, *Pay BIPOC Educators for Their DEI Labor*, LEARNING FOR JUSTICE (July 23, 2021), <https://www.learningforjustice.org/magazine/pay-bipoc-educators-for-their-dei-labor>.

<sup>92</sup> Kerry Chavez & Kristina M.W. Mitchell, *Exploring Bias in Student Evaluations: Gender, Race, and Ethnicity* (Cambridge Univ. Press 2019), <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/exploring-bias-in-student-evaluations-gender-race-and-ethnicity/91670F6003965C5646680D314CF02FA4>.

191. Black instructors are already under-represented in higher education.<sup>93</sup>

Enforcement of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act against Black instructors will only compound this disparity, as instructors are disciplined, fired, and forced out.

192. Fourth, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will expose Black students to more discrimination because of the suppression of the viewpoints disfavored by the law, their inability to discuss and hear these viewpoints, and the resulting impact on the school environment.<sup>94</sup>

193. Instruction about race, and student awareness of racism, reduce the likelihood that students will engage in racial harassment and that students of color will experience school-based discrimination and related academic and mental health consequences.<sup>95</sup>

---

<sup>93</sup> *Race/ethnicity of college faculty*, NAT'L CTR. FOR EDUC. STATISTICS, <https://nces.ed.gov/fastfacts/display.asp?id=61> (last visited Aug. 16, 2022) (only four percent of full-time faculty were Black female, and three percent were Black males).

<sup>94</sup> Christy Byrd, *Does Culturally Relevant Teaching Work? An Examination from Student Perspectives*, 6 SAGE OPEN 1, 5 (July-Sept. 2016), <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2158244016660744>.

<sup>95</sup> *Id.* at 4-5; see also Tabbye M. Chavous, et al., *Racial Identity and Academic Attainment Among African American Adolescents*, 74 CHILD DEV. 1076 (2003); Oseela Thomas, et al., *Promoting Academic Achievement: The Role of Racial Identity in Buffering Perceptions of Teacher Discrimination on Academic Achievement among African American and Caribbean Black Adolescents*, 101 J. EDUC. PSYCH. 420 (2009); Thomas S. Dee & Emily K. Penner, *The Causal Effects of Cultural Relevance: Evidence from an Ethnic Studies Curriculum*, (Nat'l Bureau of Econ. Rsch. 2016), <https://www.nber.org/papers/w21865>; Ellen Kisker, et al., *The Potential of a Culturally Based Supplemental Mathematics Curriculum to Con't)*

194. The converse is also true—removing this instruction increases the likelihood that students of color will experience increased racial harassment and discrimination.<sup>96</sup>

195. As such, by restricting student access to discussions and information about race, racism, systemic inequality, white privilege, and other topics disfavored by the legislature, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act will expose Plaintiff Dauphin and other Black students to more discrimination.

#### **VIII. THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE’S KNOWLEDGE OF THE RACIALLY DISPARATE HARM OF THE STOP W.O.K.E. ACT**

196. First, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act’s bill sponsors made clear they intended to censor conversations about systemic racism, inclusion, and equality, particularly among *groups* of people of color.

---

*Improve the Mathematics Performance of Alaska Native and Other Students*, 43 J. RSCH. IN MATHEMATICS EDUC. 78, 100 (2012); Nolan L. Cabrera, et al., *Missing the (Student Achievement) Forest for All the (Political) Trees: Empiricism and the Mexican American Studies Controversy in Tucson*, 51 AM. EDUC. RSCH. J. 1084, 1102 (2014); Tyrone Howard & Clarence L. Terry, *Culturally Responsive Pedagogy for African American Students: Promising Programs and Practices for Enhanced Academic Performance*, 22 TEACHING EDUC. 345 (2011).

<sup>96</sup> Sheretta T. Butler Barnes, et al., *Promoting Resilience Among African-American Girls: Racial Identity as a Protective Factor*, 89 Child Dev. 552 (2018), <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29154406/>.



197. As described above, Representative Avila and Senator Diaz were squarely focused on suppressing the use of terms like “white privilege” and “systemic racism,” specifically when discussed among “affinity groups.”<sup>97</sup>

198. Representative Avila also criticized “movements” that discuss “experiences [] based on the group they fit into,” a thinly veiled reference to the Black Lives Matter movement and similar activists who have emphasized the collective experiences of Black people.<sup>98</sup>

199. Second, members of the public and the Stop W.O.K.E. Act’s opponents put the legislature on notice that it would have a disparate impact on Black students and instructors.

200. During public testimony before the House Education & Employment Committee on February 8, 2022, Plaintiff Dauphin, a student activist at Florida State University, said “Your kids are not as colorblind as you think. You are more concerned about them feeling guilty than Black kids being terrorized by racist kids who don’t know any better because they were raised by people like you who do things like this.” Plaintiff Dauphin also testified: “When I think of my experience in your public schools, all I think of is discomfort. I sat through a classmate

---

<sup>97</sup> 2/1/22 *House State Affairs Committee* at 01:01:52.040, *supra* n.2.

<sup>98</sup> Scott Powers, *Freedom from discomfort or a knowledge ban? ‘Individual freedom’ bill covering schools, businesses moves in House*, Florida Politics (Jan. 26, 2022), <https://floridapolitics.com/archives/489834-freedom-from-discomfort-or-a-knowledge-ban-stop-w-o-k-e-act-moves-in-house/>.

arguing in our debate class that Black people are inherently inferior, blaming us for the effects of institutionalized racism. But you don't seem to care about that. Due to the sheer amount of racism I've experienced and the experiences of most Black people you can ask, you guys are clearly failing to teach the values of racial progress that the bill claims to uphold.”<sup>99</sup>

201. Anna Gavalda, with the Florida Student Power Network, testified: “I also want to name something that is very interesting to me that this bill is being passed today on the first day of Black History Month. What is the message that you're sending to our Black youth? What is the message that you're sending to our LGBTQ youth? What is the message that you're sending to our immigrant youth in this state? And the message is that their lives don't matter that their identities don't matter.”<sup>100</sup> Ms. Gavalda also testified: “We strongly oppose H.B. 7 because we know that this bill would take away the right from all students to learn and understand systemic discrimination, racism, and the real history of this country and state. Black, Brown, and LGBTQ+ youth are impacted by systemic discrimination every day and they experience it through their daily lives. And students who do not face these experiences still have the right to learn and understand how their peers go through and navigate the world.... This bill will push for children to be silent

---

<sup>99</sup> 2/8/22 *House Education and Employment Committee* at 01:58:19—01:58:54, *supra* n.55.

<sup>100</sup> 2/1/22 *House State Affairs Committee* at 01:45:01-01:45:23, *supra* n.2.

and their own experiences and to be shunned in the system that should be teaching about our real history and where children can share and discuss with each other and grow through those learnings and also our teachers are not punished.”<sup>101</sup>

202. Mary Elizabeth Estrada, a recent graduate and organizer for the Florida Student Power Network, Florida testified: “This country was built on racism on oppression, murder and other atrocities. History repeats itself, and if we water down historical facts to make it more comfortable for white children, we will never evolve and we will never remove systemic racism.”<sup>102</sup>

203. During debate before the House State Affairs Committee on February 1, 2022, Representative Joseph said: “Some people can’t and won’t acknowledge that Black people in this country are disproportionately harmed by all sorts of things. And there was commentary about individualism versus the systems and practices that perpetuate the racist results. The fact is this history, the fact of history is that the US Constitution counted Black people as 3/5 of a person. That is a fact, that is structural.... The only way to not repeat the past is to educate ourselves and learn the lessons that we need to learn to fix the systems and practices that perpetuate racially unjust results. Racism exists. History in which

---

<sup>101</sup> *Id.* at 01:42:53.450-01:43:58.779.

<sup>102</sup> *Id.* at 01:46:44.630-01:47:06.010.

people have killed or discriminated against should make people uncomfortable or feel guilty. That should happen. That should be a thing.”<sup>103</sup>

204. The ACLU of Florida submitted written testimony in opposition to H.B. 7, stating that, among other things, H.B. 7 would censor public schools and instructors from teaching their students in K-20 schools (including public colleges and universities) about race, national origin, and the impacts of slavery. In addition, the ACLU further testified that the bill would make it unlawful to provide instruction or trainings in K-20 schools on the same topics.<sup>104</sup>

205. “Banning the right of educators and employers to initiate conversations about race and gender and the long-term impacts of slavery and patriarchy on current social, economic, and political realities, would not only censor employers and educators, but would negate the lived experiences of marginalized groups in classrooms and workplaces. H.B. 7/S.B. 148 essentially seek to erase the factual history of the U. S. from being discussed and acknowledged in workplaces and schools and prevent employees and students

---

<sup>103</sup> *Id.* at 02:16:47-02:18:09.

<sup>104</sup> Letter from Kara Gross, Legislative Director & Sr. Policy Counsel of ACLU Florida to Chair Erin Grall, Judiciary Committee (Jan. 26, 2022), [https://www.aclufl.org/sites/default/files/aclu\\_fl\\_written\\_testimony\\_in\\_opposition-hb\\_7\\_government\\_censorship\\_of\\_race\\_gender\\_discussions\\_judiciary\\_1.25.22.pdf](https://www.aclufl.org/sites/default/files/aclu_fl_written_testimony_in_opposition-hb_7_government_censorship_of_race_gender_discussions_judiciary_1.25.22.pdf).

from receiving informative trainings that could improve the culture and habits of workplace and classroom environments.”<sup>105</sup>

206. Third, the legislature was on notice of a Tennessee law with very similar language to the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, that is currently being challenged on constitutional grounds. The initial complaints filed under that law were based on discussions of anti-racist ideas. As Senator Lori Berman explained: “Tennessee was one of the first states to pass similar legislation and it didn’t take long for a complaint to be filed under the law for a school that was teaching about Martin Luther King and his March on Washington. They said that Martin Luther King and his teachings were divisive, anti-American and anti-White. Is that where we are today as a society vilifying a monumental figure of American history?”<sup>106</sup>

207. The Florida legislature enacted the Stop W.O.K.E. Act despite powerful testimony from the public about the importance of instruction on systemic racism and the experiences of Black people, as well as the central role such education has in promoting discussions and awareness that lead to a more just society.

208. Enacting legislation that stifles those discussions exhibits a hostility to Black people because it suppresses speech and ideas that help Black people

---

<sup>105</sup> *Id.*

<sup>106</sup> 3/10/22 Senate Session Part 1 at 00:35:34-00:36:02, *supra* n.83.

achieve equality and full citizenship. Indeed, remedying racial inequalities was the driving force behind the surge in discussions and initiatives designed to confront this country's history of racial injustice following the killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, and others.

209. As noted earlier, the suppression of Black activism and speech in Florida throughout the 1950s and 1960s was a rejection of calls for racial justice. Often times, past efforts to prevent racial justice through the suppression of Black activism and speech were the result of actions from state officials, including the Florida legislature, as demonstrated by its shuttering of FAMU Law School in the wake of Black student protests in the 1960s.

210. The enactment of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act therefore follows a regrettable decades-old pattern by the Florida legislature to suppress racial justice efforts. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act does so by infringing upon the constitutional rights of Florida's instructors and students.

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

### **COUNT ONE**

#### **First Amendment to the U. S. Constitution – Right to speak free from viewpoint-based discrimination**

211. All prior paragraphs are incorporated here by reference.

212. The First Amendment binds the State of Florida pursuant to the incorporation doctrine of the Fourteenth Amendment. In all of the following

paragraphs, references to the First Amendment include the First Amendment as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment.

213. Viewpoint-based discrimination is presumptively unconstitutional in any setting. *Rosenberger v. Rector & Visitors of the Univ. of Va.*, 515 U.S. 819, 828 (1995). But the dangers of viewpoint-based restrictions are magnified in the university setting, because they interfere with academic freedom principles that have long been recognized by the Supreme Court, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, and Florida’s colleges and universities. *Keyishian*, 385 U.S. at 603; *Speech First, Inc. v. Cartwright*, 32 F.4th 1110, 1127 n. 6 (11th Cir. 2022).<sup>107</sup>

214. All instructors on college campuses have the First Amendment right to speak free from viewpoint-based restrictions. Instructors include—but are not limited to—professors, lecturers, guest speakers, student teaching assistants, and anyone participating in leading and providing classroom instruction.

215. Instructors understand the Stop W.O.K.E. Act to prohibit them from providing instruction that advances students’ belief in certain concepts, while allowing them to provide instruction that denounces those concepts.

---

<sup>107</sup> See also State Univ. Sys. Free Expression Stmt. (Apr. 15, 2019), <https://www.flbog.edu/2019/04/15/state-university-system-free-expression-statement/>.

216. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act prohibits instructors from teaching students about scientific studies that reach conclusions that contradict its banned concepts.

217. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act imposes unconstitutional viewpoint-based restrictions on instructors' speech and is contrary to the principle of academic freedom.

218. Instructors can no longer say anything in class that might be perceived as "woke," as that term is understood by the legislature, without reasonably fearing official consequences.

## **COUNT TWO**

### **First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution – Right to receive information free from viewpoint-based discrimination**

219. All prior paragraphs are incorporated here by reference.

220. The First Amendment protects the right to receive information and ideas as well as the right to disseminate ideas. *Stanley*, 394 U.S. at 564.

221. By prohibiting instructors from advancing particular viewpoints in the course of instruction, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act denies college and graduate students the right to learn from those viewpoints.

222. The "chief mission [of universities] is to equip students to examine arguments critically and, perhaps even more importantly, to prepare young citizens to participate in the civic and political life of our democratic republic." *Speech First*, 32 F.4th at 1128.



223. Students can only be prepared for civic and political participation if they are “trained through wide exposure to that robust exchange of ideas which discovers truth ‘out of a multitude of tongues, (rather) than through any kind of authoritative selection.’” *Keyishian*, 385 U.S. at 603 (quoting *United States v. Associated Press*, 52 F. Supp. 362, 372 (S.D.N.Y. 1943)).

224. As a result of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, students only have access to censored classrooms, and have a significantly impaired ability to learn the full scope of their discipline, including ascertainment of academic consensus and debate on issues disfavored by the legislature.

225. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act infringes on students’ right to receive information in college and graduate classrooms, uninhibited by State-imposed viewpoint-based restrictions.

### **COUNT THREE**

#### **Fourteenth Amendment – Vagueness**

226. All prior paragraphs are incorporated here by reference.

227. A law is “void for vagueness if its prohibitions are not clearly defined.” *Grayned v. City of Rockford*, 408 U.S. 104, 108 (1972). A law is impermissibly vague if it either “fails to provide people of ordinary intelligence a reasonable opportunity to understand what conduct it prohibits” or “authorizes or

even encourages arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement.” *Hill v. Colorado*, 530 U.S. 703, 732 (2000).

228. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act is unconstitutionally vague on its face because it fails to provide fair notice of what college professors, student teaching assistants, and other instructors can and cannot say in their courses, and because it invites arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement.

229. For example, instructors do not know what it means to teach concepts “in an objective manner without endorsement.” Fla. Stat § 1000.05(4)(b).

230. Instructors also are uncertain what it means to not be allowed to teach that one “cannot or should not attempt to treat others without respect to race, color, national origin, or sex.” Fla. Stat § 1000.05(4)(a)(4).

231. The “Stop W.O.K.E” title, text, and legislative history encourages discriminatory enforcement against instructors who embrace progressive activism.

232. Instructors of color and those who are vocal about or teach social justice or race or gender issues are more likely to be targeted for enforcement than their colleagues.

## **COUNT FOUR**

### **Fourteenth Amendment – Equal Protection**

233. All prior paragraphs are incorporated here by reference.

234. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that: “No State shall... deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.” U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.

235. An official action taken for the purpose of discriminating on account of race has no legitimacy under the United States Constitution. *City of Richmond, Va. v. United States*, 422 U.S. 358, 378-79 (1975).

236. The Stop W.O.K.E. Act was enacted for a racially discriminatory purpose.

237. Demonstrating intentional discrimination “does not require a plaintiff to prove that the challenged action rested solely on racially discriminatory purposes.” *Vill. of Arlington Heights v. Metro. Hous. Dev. Corp.*, 429 U.S. 252, 265 (1977). Instead, as is the case with the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, the discriminatory purpose must have been a motivating factor, rather than the primary or sole purpose. *Id.* at 265-66.

238. “Determining whether invidious discriminatory purpose was a motivating factor demands a sensitive inquiry into such circumstantial and direct evidence of intent as may be available.” *Id.* at 266.

239. Recognizing that legislation motivated by a discriminatory purpose historically has often been crafted so that it “appears neutral on its face,” the U.S.

Supreme Court articulated several non-exhaustive factors to inform an analysis of discriminatory intent, including: (1) evidence that defendants’ decision bears more heavily on one race than another; (2) the historical background of the decision; (3) the specific sequence of events leading up to the decision; (4) departures from the normal procedural sequence; (5) substantive departures; and (6) legislative history, including “contemporary statements by members of the decision making body, minutes of its meetings, or report[s].” *Id.* at 266-68.

240. Applying the *Arlington Heights* factors, the Stop W.O.K.E. Act was enacted, at least in part, with the purpose of discriminating against Black instructors and students by chilling and suppressing their speech about race and inequality. The law explicitly targets concepts related to race and racism. As such, the law’s impact will bear particularly heavily on Black instructors, who are more likely to teach on these topics, and on Black students, who are most likely to benefit from discussions on these topics. By limiting this speech, the law will also expose Black students and other students of color to increased harassment and discrimination.

241. The history surrounding the adoption of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act, along with the unusual events leading up to its signing, and substantive departures from the normal legislative process that resulted in its enactment, further demonstrate its discriminatory purpose. Moreover, statements made by the bill’s sponsors and

proponents make clear that the Stop W.O.K.E. Act targets the elimination of curriculum, instruction, and conversations designed to improve the educational, social, and civic experiences of Black people and other historically marginalized groups.

242. The known and reasonably foreseeable discriminatory impact of the Stop W.O.K.E. Act and the tenuousness of the stated justifications for the new law, among other factors, raise a strong inference of a discriminatory purpose in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, in light of the foregoing facts and arguments, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- A. Issues preliminary and permanent injunctive relief restraining Defendant and its employees, agents, and successors in office from enforcing the Act;
- B. Declare the Stop W.O.K.E. Act facially unconstitutional under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- C. Award Plaintiffs' costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses under 42 U.S.C. § 1998; and

D. Grant such additional relief as the interests of justice may require.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jerry C. Edwards

Jerry Edwards  
Fla. Bar No. 1003437  
ACLU Found. of Fla.  
933 Lee Road, Suite 102  
Orlando, FL 32810  
(786) 363-1107  
jedwards@aclufl.org

**AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION OF  
FLORIDA, INC.**

/s/ Daniel B. Tilley

Daniel B. Tilley  
Florida Bar No. 102882  
Katherine H. Blankenship  
Florida Bar No. 1031234  
Caroline McNamara\*\*  
4343 West Flagler Street, Suite 400  
Miami, Florida 33134  
(786) 363-2707  
dtalley@aclufl.org  
kblankenship@aclufl.org  
cmcnamara@aclufl.org

**NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND  
EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC.**

/s/ Morenike Fajana

Morenike Fajana\*  
40 Rector Street, 5th Floor  
New York, NY 10006  
(212) 217-1690  
mfajana@naacpldf.org

**AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION**

/s/ Leah Watson

Leah Watson\*  
Emerson Sykes\*  
Sarah Hinger\*  
Laura Moraff\*  
125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 549-2500  
lwatson@aclu.org  
esykes@aclu.org  
shinger@aclu.org  
lmoraff@aclu.org

**BALLARD SPAHR LLP**

/s/ Jason Leckerman

Jason Leckerman\*  
1735 Market Street, 51st Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599  
(215) 864-8266  
leckermanj@ballardspahr.com

Jin Hee Lee\*  
Santino Coleman\*  
700 14th Street, Ste. 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005†  
(202) 682-1300  
jlee@naacpldf.org  
scoleman@naacpldf.org

Charles Tobin (Fla. Bar No. 816345)  
1909 K Street NW, 12th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 661-2200  
tobinc@ballardspahr.com

Jacqueline Mabatah\*  
201 South Main Street, Suite 800  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2221  
(801) 531-3063  
mabatahj@ballardspahr.com

Isabella Salomão Nascimento\*  
2000 IDS Center, 80 South 8th Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2119  
(612) 371-3281  
salomaonascimento@ballardspahr.com

†Mailing address *only* (licensed in and  
working remotely from South Carolina)

\*(motion for admission *pro hac vice*  
forthcoming)

\*\*(Florida Bar admission pending)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*